

The Effect of Using Recycled Aggregates on Some Mechanical and Impact Properties of Concrete Slabs Reinforced with Plastic Waste Fibers.

Zayd Abdul-Jabbar Abdullah Al-Obaidi

EasyChair preprints are intended for rapid dissemination of research results and are integrated with the rest of EasyChair.

November 4, 2020

The Effect of Using Recycled Aggregates on Some Mechanical and Impact Properties of Concrete Slabs Reinforced with Plastic Waste Fibers.

Zayd Abdul-Jabbar Abdullah Al-Obaidi

M.Sc. civil engineering, Dep. of General Sc., College of Basic Education / Haditha, University of Anbar, Iraq

Author: eng.zayd132@uoanbar.edu.iq, Mobile: +964 7700010480

Key words: recycled aggregate, waste plastic fibers, impact property.

Abstract

The products of the demolished concrete from the effects of bombing and the spread of waste plastic bottles everywhere are among the largest pollutants that threaten the environment, especially in Iraq after the wars it passed and the weak basic services. In this study, demolished concrete products were used after being crushed and replaced with coarse aggregate and the addition of fibers produced from manual chopping of plastic bottles used in preserving soft drinks to improve the impact property and some mechanical properties of concrete. Two mixtures were poured, the first containing coarse aggregate with different fibers ratios, and the second coarse aggregate was replaced by crushed concrete products with different fibers ratios. Also, two reference mixtures were poured without adding fibers to each type for the purpose of comparison. The results of concrete containing natural coarse aggregate at the percentage of adding fibers (1.2%)Showed a slight decrease in concrete density by (3.56%) And an improvement in compression resistance and bending resistance by (17.4%) (44.82%) At age (28) days, respectively, and improvement in the impact resistance of slabs by (285.71%) At age (56) days. The results of concrete containing recycled aggregates of damaged concrete at the percentage of adding fibers (1.2%) Showed a slight decrease in the density of concrete by (3.08%) And an improvement in compression resistance and bending resistance by (28.1%) (42.26%) At age (28) days respectively, the impact resistance of the slabs improved by (320%) At age (56) days.

1. Introduction

Waste is found in large quantities in dumping areas and it has high protection from biological and weather conditions, making it unsustainable. [1]. The recycling of waste compared to its high disposal rate was not sufficient. Therefore, the need to discover another method of disposal increased from time to time, especially polyethylene terephthalate (PET) which is a type of plastic commonly used to make plastic bottles [2]. The addition of PET fibers in concrete mixes is important to control the ductility shrinkage cracks in concrete. Plastic shrinkage cracks are widely visible in mortars, which have a large surface exposure to these cracks [3]. The addition of waste plastic fibers which made from manual cutting of beverage bottles can be enhanced some mechanical properties of Ferro-cement mortar [4]. Also, the replacement of natural coarse aggregate by coarse recycled aggregate in concrete mix showed that if The percentage exceeds 50% of recycled aggregate in mix the mechanical properties reduced [5].

2. Experimental Program

2.1 Materials

2.1.1 Cement

To cast the specimens as cubes, prism and slabs, (OPC - Type I) Ordinary Portland Cement production of Al-Mass company was used. It adjusted to **ASTM C150** [6] & **IQS 5/1984** [7].

2.1.2 Fine Aggregates

Natural sand has used. it was clean and has no clay and no organic materials. Table (1) listed sieve analysis and Table (2) listed the physical properties of fine aggregate. It was adjusted to **IQS 45/1984** [8].

| Sieve Size (mm.) | Passing % | IQS 45/1984 |
|------------------|-----------|-------------|
| 10 | 100 | 100 |
| 4.75 | 93 | 90 - 100 |
| 2.36 | 82 | 75 - 100 |
| 1.18 | 69 | 55 - 90 |
| 0.6 | 47 | 35 - 59 |
| 0.3 | 18 | 8-30 |
| 0.15 | 6 | 0 – 10 |

Table (1). Sieve Analysis of Fine Aggregate.

| Table (2). | Properties | of the Fine | Aggregate. |
|------------|------------|-------------|------------|
|------------|------------|-------------|------------|

| properties | Result | IQS 45/1984 |
|-------------------------------------|--------|-------------|
| Specific gravity | 2.40 | - |
| Sulfate content (SO ₃ %) | 0.41% | 0.5% (max) |
| Absorption% | 0.70% | - |
| Fineness modulus | 2.85 | - |

2.1.3 Coarse aggregate

Natural gravel has used, it washed and submerged in water about two hours and dried in air to get saturated surface dry (SSD). Table (3 & 4) listed the properties and sieve analysis of coarse aggregate respectively. It was adjusted IQS 45/1984 [8].

| Properties | Test results | IQS 45/1984 |
|------------------|--------------|-------------|
| Specific gravity | 2.66 | - |
| Sulfate content | 0.07 | ≤0.1 |
| Absorption % | 0.54% | - |

 Table (3). Properties of Coarse Aggregate.

| Sieve Size (mm) | Passing % | IQS 45/1984 |
|-----------------|-----------|-------------|
| 12.5 | 100 | 100 |
| 9.5 | 91 | 85-100 |
| 4.75 | 15 | 0-25 |
| 2.36 | 3 | 0-5 |

 Table (4). Sieve Analysis of Coarse Aggregate.

2.1.4 Recycled Aggregate

The recycled aggregate was made from crashed the demolished concrete from the effects of bombing by hand and graded to fitting the grade of course aggregate, it was also washed and submerged in the water about two hours and dried in air to get saturated surface dry (SSD). Tables (5 & 6) listed the sieve analysis and the properties of recycled aggregate respectively. plate (1) showed the recycled aggregate. the recycled aggregate adjusted to **IQS 45/1984** [8].

| Sieve Size (mm) | Passing % | IQS 45/1984 |
|-----------------|-----------|-------------|
| 12.5 | 100 | 100 |
| 9.5 | 94 | 85-100 |
| 4.75 | 19 | 0-25 |
| 2.36 | 4 | 0-5 |

 Table (5). Sieve Analysis of Recycled Aggregate.

 Table (6). Properties of Recycled Aggregate.

| Properties | Test results | IQS 45/1984 |
|------------------|--------------|-------------|
| Specific gravity | 2.59 | - |
| Sulfate content | 0.09 | ≤0.1 |
| Absorption % | 0.71% | - |

Plate (1). The Recycled Aggregate.



2.1.5 Waste Plastic Fibers

The waste plastic fibers that used in this study is resulting from cutting manually and its identical to **ASTM–A 820** [9]. The geometrical properties of this fibers are illustrated in Table (7). Fibers were added to the mixes as a percentage by volume of mixes of (0.6, 0.8, 1.0, 1.2) and (1.4) respectively. plate (2) show waste plastic fibers and cutting machine.

| Properties | Length | Width | Thickness | Specific gravity |
|---------------|--------|-------|-----------|-----------------------|
| - | (mm) | (mm) | (mm) | (gm/cm ²) |
| Plastic fiber | 39 | 4 | 0.35 | 1.1 |

Plate (2). Waste Plastic Cutting Machine and The Fiber.

 Table (7). Properties of Waste Plastic Fibers.

2.1.6 Water

Ordinary drinking water was used to produce and curing all concrete specimens without any admixture. The water was conforming to **IQS1703** [10]. Table (8) showed the results of tested water.

| Test | Result (mg/l) | Limits of IQS1703 |
|------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| SO ₃ -2 ions | 480 | 1000 |
| CO ₃ ⁻² ions | 66 | 1000 |
| Cl ₂ -2 ions | 336 | 500 |

Table (8). Properties of Used Water.

2.1.7 Concrete Mixes

Two mixes were made in the present work of normal concrete and recycled aggregate concrete with use same mixing proportions, to arrival the required of compressive strength. Six ratios of (%WPF) added to the mixture (0.6, 0.8, 1, 1.2 and 1.4%) as volumetric ratios. The procedure of mixing was conforming to **ASTM CI92** [11].

2.1.8 Normal Weight Concrete (NWC)

The proportions of preparation the Normal-Weight Concrete mix were calculated and then mixed mechanically until all components become uniform. to produce waste-plastic concrete (WPC), the fibers of wasteplastic were added to the dry mix. All materials mixed drily until the mix becomes uniform. Then, the calculated water added and remixed all components until being homogenous. Table (9) show mix-proportions of materials used for Normal-Weight Concrete (NWC).

| Mix | Cement (kg) | Fine aggregate (kg) | Coarse aggregate (kg) | (w/c) £ | Waste plastic fiber (Kg) |
|-----|----------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|--------------------------------|
| R1 | 21 | 35.5 | 54 | 9.12 | 0 |
| M1 | 20.82 | 34.15 | 53.5 | 9.02 | 0.400 |
| M2 | 20.78 | 34.08 | 53.4 | 9.00 | 0.534 |
| M3 | 20.74 | 34.01 | 53.3 | 8.98 | 0.668 |
| M4 | 20.70 | 33.95 | 53.2 | 8.96 | 0.802 |
| M5 | 20.66 | 33.88 | 53.1 | 8.94 | 0.936 |

Table (9). Mix Properties of Materials.

2.1.9 Recycled Aggregate Concrete (RAC): -

To prepare the Recycled-Aggregate Concrete mix, the proportions were calculated and then mixed mechanically until all components seem homogeneous and during the mix operation the fibers added to the mix timing with addition of components. Table (10) show mix-proportions of materials used for Recycled-Aggregate Concrete (RAC).

| Mix | Cement (kg) | Fine aggregate (kg) | Coarse aggregate (kg) | (w/c) <i>L</i> | Waste plastic fiber (Kg) |
|-----|----------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|
| R2 | 19.05 | 31.24 | 48.96 | 8.25 | 0 |
| MR1 | 18.94 | 31.06 | 48.68 | 8.21 | 0.400 |
| MR2 | 18.90 | 31.00 | 48.57 | 8.19 | 0.534 |
| MR3 | 18.86 | 30.93 | 48.47 | 8.17 | 0.668 |
| MR4 | 18.82 | 30.86 | 48.36 | 8.15 | 0.802 |
| MR5 | 18.78 | 30.80 | 48.26 | 8.13 | 0.936 |

 Table (10). Mix Properties of Materials

2.1.10 Curing

Specimens were cured under ideal conditions. All specimens submerged in water basin with fresh water. Plate (3) show the Tested specimens.

Plate (3). The Tested Specimens



2.2 Tests

2.2.1 Compressive Strength

To determine the compressive strength, a mold of the cube (150 \times 150 \times 150) mm was used according to **BS 1881 – 119** [12]. Three specimens of cubes were tested for each type of mix of concrete at two ages (7 & 28) days. All test results were listed in Tables (11a &11b).

| | WPF % | Compressive | e strength (Mpa) | Change in |
|-----|----------|-------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|
| M1X | | 7 days | 28 days | compressive strength at 28 days. |
| R1 | 0 | 27.2 | 40.5 | - |
| M1 | 0.6 | 27.5 | 42.0 | 3.7 % |
| M2 | 0.8 | 28.0 | 44.0 | 8.6 % |
| M3 | 1.0 | 31.5 | 46.5 | 14.8 % |
| M4 | 1.2 | 30.5 | 44.5 | 9.8 % |
| M5 | 1.4 | 28.5 | 41.0 | 1.2 % |

Table (11a). Compressive Results for Cubes of the NWC.

Table (11b). Compressive Results for Cubes of the RAC.

| Mix | WPF % | Compressive | strength (Mpa) | Change in |
|-----|----------|-------------|----------------|------------------------------------|
| | | 7 days | 28 days | compressive strength at 28 days |
| R2 | 0 | 32.0 42.5 | | - |
| MR1 | 0.6 | 33.0 | 44.5 | 4.7 % |
| MR2 | 0.8 | 39.5 | 47.0 | 10.6 % |
| MR3 | 1.0 | 44.0 | 50.5 | 18.8 % |
| MR4 | 1.2 | 42.0 | 48.0 | 12.9 % |
| MR5 | 1.4 | 34.0 | 45.0 | 5.9 % |

2.2.2 Flexural Strength (Modulus of Rupture): -

According to **ASTM C 293** [13] (center-point loading), flexural strength or modules of rupture test defined as the stress in a concrete before yield in flexure. The prism dimension is $(400 \times 100 \times 100)$ mm which used to determine the flexural strength of concrete in different percentages of (WPF) and normal concrete with different ages. Three specimens were tested at two ages (7 & 28) days. All test results were listed in Table (12a &12b). (Modulus of rupture) is calculated using following formula:

$f_r = 3PL/2bd^2$

fr: flexural strength, (MPa).*P:* max. applied load (N).*L:* Span length of specimen, (mm).*b:* average width of specimen (mm).

| Min | WPF | Flexural Stre | ength (Mpa) | Change in Flexural |
|-----|-----|---------------|-------------|----------------------|
| MIX | % | 7 days | 28 days | Strength at 28 days. |
| R1 | 0 | 2.8 | 4.3 | - |
| M1 | 0.6 | 3.0 | 4.5 | 4.6 % |
| M2 | 0.8 | 3.2 | 4.7 | 9.3 % |
| M3 | 1.0 | 3.4 | 5.1 | 18.6 % |
| M4 | 1.2 | 3.5 | 5.5 | 27.9 % |
| M5 | 1.4 | 2.8 | 4.6 | 6.9 % |

Table (12a). Flexural Results for Prisms of the NWC.

Table (12b). Flexural Results for Prisms of the RAC

| Mix | WPF | Flexural Stre | ength (Mpa) | Change in Flexural |
|-----|-----|---------------|-------------|---------------------|
| | % | 7 days | 28 days | Strength at 28 days |
| R2 | 0 | 2.6 | 4.3 | - |
| MR1 | 0.6 | 3.8 | 4.5 | 4.6 % |
| MR2 | 0.8 | 4.2 | 5.3 | 23.3 % |
| MR3 | 1.0 | 4.5 | 5.6 | 30.2 % |
| MR4 | 1.2 | 4.9 | 6.0 | 39.5 % |
| MR5 | 1.4 | 4.7 | 5.5 | 27.9 % |

2.2.3 Density Test: -

Density was determined by weighing the cubes after dried and dividing its weight by the measured volume of the cubes. Dry Density test was measured according to **ASTM C642** [14] and Dry density was measured three cubes of $(100 \times 100 \times 100)$ mm for every mix at 28 days. Table (13a & 13b) listed the density test of the Normal-Weight Concrete (NWC) and the density test of the Recycled-Aggregate Concrete (RAC).

| Mix | WPF% | Density (kg) | | Channel in density of 20 dama |
|-----|------|--------------|---------|-------------------------------|
| | | 7 days | 28 days | Change in density at 28 days. |
| R1 | 0 | 2358 | 2388 | - |
| M1 | 0.6 | 2368 | 2348 | -1.68% |
| M2 | 0.8 | 2363 | 2345 | -1.80% |
| M3 | 1.0 | 2345 | 2335 | -2.22% |
| M4 | 1.2 | 2325 | 2305 | -3.48% |
| M5 | 1.4 | 2293 | 2272 | -4.86% |

Table (13a). Density of the NWC specimens

| Men | WPF% | Density (kg) | | Change in density of 20 days |
|-------|------|--------------|---------|-------------------------------|
| IVIIX | | 7 days | 28 days | Change in density at 28 days. |
| R2 | 0 | 2358 | 2368 | - |
| MR1 | 0.6 | 2355 | 2338 | -1.27% |
| MR2 | 0.8 | 2335 | 2323 | -1.90% |
| MR3 | 1.0 | 2295 | 2280 | -3.72% |
| MR4 | 1.2 | 2245 | 2229 | -5.87% |
| MR5 | 1.4 | 2235 | 2218 | -6.33% |

Table (13b). Density of the RAC specimens.

2.2.4 Impact Test for Slabs

To demine Low-Velocity Impact Tests, a method of Repeated Falling Mass was used (1300) gm steel ball falling freely from height 2.4 m, six slabs for every mix with (56) day age ($400 \times 400 \times 50$) mm dimension were tested. The number of blows to cause the first crack and failure was recorded. Tables (14a & 14b) listed a number of blows.

| | WPF | (No. of b | lows). | Change in Impact | |
|-----|-----|---------------------|--------|-----------------------|--|
| MIX | % | First crack failure | | Resistance in 56 days | |
| R1 | 0 | 2 | 7 | - | |
| M1 | 0.6 | 3 | 15 | 114.3 % | |
| M2 | 0.8 | 1 | 17 | 142.8 % | |
| M3 | 1.0 | 2 | 18 | 157.1 % | |
| M4 | 1.2 | 3 | 23 | 228.5 % | |
| M5 | 1.4 | 4 | 21 | 200 % | |

Table (14a). No. of blows for NWC slabs.

Table (14b). No. of blows for **RAC** slabs.

| Mix | WPF | (No. of b | olows). | Change in Impact |
|-----|-----|-------------|---------|-----------------------|
| | % | First crack | failure | Resistance In 56 days |
| R2 | 0 | 1 | 10 | - |
| MR1 | 0.6 | 2 | 22 | 120 % |
| MR2 | 0.8 | 2 | 31 | 210 % |
| MR3 | 1.0 | 2 | 35 | 250 % |
| MR4 | 1.2 | 2 | 42 | 320 % |
| MR5 | 1.4 | 3 | 29 | 190 % |

3. Results and Discussions

3.1 Compressive Strength Test

Test results in Table (12a & 12b) at ages (7 & 28) days for (0, 0.6, 0.8, 1.0, 1.2 & 1.4%) of (WPF) by volume and plotted in Figure (4) showed That compressive strength increased with compared to the reference mix without (WPF) up to (14.8 %) and (18.8 %) for both mixes respectively. This increasing caused by the ductility and elongation of (WPF) through cracks beside, the compressive strength increased with age because of the concrete be stiffer and strength due to complete processes of hydration and reduces in porosity and after that there was dropped in result, Plate (4) shows the tested cubes.

Fig. (4). The increasing of compressive strength at age of (28) days.



Plate (4). the tested cubes.



3.2 Flexural Strength Test

All results for flexural test of both concrete mixes with (WPF) listed in Tables (13a & 13b) respectively. Its plotted in Figure (5) at (7 & 28 days) for (0, 0.6, 0.8, 1.0, 1.2 and 1.4%) of (WPF) as volume. Flexural strength increased with increased (WPF) also increased with curing time. because the presence of (WPF) make tight the microscopic coracles to propagate and reinforced the concrete matrix. Plate (5) shows the tested prisms.





Plate (5). the tested prisms.



3.3 Density Test

Tables (11a & 11b) listed the density of tested specimens of both mixes. The results showed that the density decreased with increasing the volume ratio of (WPF) for both mixes. This is caused by that replacing of concrete components with plastic fibers as volume reducing the density due to the density of plastic is much less than concrete components. Figure (6) showed the changes in density for both mixes.





3.4 Impact Test

Results of impact resistance listed in tables (16 a) and (16 b) for both mixes. The results showed increasing in numbers of blow for the slab specimens with increased of (WPF) with respect to reference mixes. This increasing due to enhancing the ductility because of the fibers bridges the cracks and prevent it of developed. The maximum increasing of blows resistance was (228.5%) and (320%) at (1.2%) of (WPF) for both mixes respectively. Figure (7) showed the changes in numbers of blows for both mixes. Plate (6) shows the tested slabs.

Fig. (7). The increasing of no. of blows with compared to the reference mixes at age of (56) days.



Plate (6). the tested slabs.



4. Conclusions

Based on the experimental work and results obtained in this study, the following conclusions can be presented:

- 1- Waste fiber addition with different ratios enhancing the compressive strength at age of (28) days compared with both reference mixes. The magnitudes of enhancing at (1.0%) of (WPF) about (14.8%) and (18.8%) for both mixes respectively at age of 28 days.
- 2- Waste fiber addition with different ratios increases the flexural strength at age of (28) compared with both references mixes. The maximum magnitudes of increasing at (1.2%) of (WPF) about (27.9%) and (39.5%) for both mixes respectively at age of 28 days.
- 3- Waste fiber addition with different ratios decreases the density at age of (28) days compared with both references mixes.
- 4- Waste fiber addition with different ratios increases the impact resistance at age of (56) days compared with both references mixes. The maximum magnitudes of increasing at (1.2%) of (WPF) about (228.5%) and (320%) for both mixes respectively at age of (56) days.

5. References

[1] XIAO J. LI J. AND ZHANG Ch. 2005 Mechanical Properties of *Recycled Aggregate Concrete Under Uniaxial Loading* Cement and Concrete Research (Elsevier) Vol. 35 No. 6 pages 1187-1194.

[2] C. MARTHONG AND D. K. SARMA 2016 Influence of PET fiber geometry on the mechanical properties of concrete: An experimental investigation European Journal of Environmental and Civil Engineering Vol. 20 No. 7 pages 771–784

[3] L. A. PEREIRA DE OLIVEIRA AND J. P. CASTRO-GOMES 2011 Physical and Mechanical Behaviour of Recycled PET Fibre Reinforced Mortar Construction and Building Materials (Elsevier) Vol. 25 No. 4 Pages 1712-1717

[4] Al-Hadithi A. AND Al-Obaidi Z. 2015 *Behavior of Ferrocement Slabs Containing Waste Plastic Fibers under Impact Loadings* Ciência e Técnica Vitivinícola (Research Gate) Vol. 30 No. 5 pages 205-219

[5] Alzouhayli K. AND Mokbel T. 2014 *Experimental Study on The Recycled Aggregate Concrete* Tishreen University Journal for Research and Scientific Studies - Engineering Sciences Series **Vol. 36 No. 2** pages 253-266

[6] ASTM C150 1989 *Standard Specification for Portland Cement* Annual Book of ASTM Standards American Society for Testing and Materials Section 4, **Vol.04-02** pages 89-92

[7] IQS 5 1984 *Portland Cement* annual book of Iraqi standards (Planning Council, The Central Agency for Standardization and Quality Control / Iraq) pages 1-10

[8] IQS 45 1984 Aggregate from Natural Sources for Concrete Technical Specifications for Civil Work (Planning Council, The Central Agency for Standardization and Quality Control / Iraq) Vol.1 pages 19-27

[9] A820/A820M 2011 Standard Specification for Steel Fibers for Fiber-Reinforced Concrete Annual Book of ASTM Standards (West Conshohocken PA. USA) pages 1-4

[10] IQS 1703 1992 *Water Used in Concrete* Specifications of Materials and Construction Work (National Center for Structural Laboratories / Iraq) Vol.3 page 47

[11] ASTM CI92 2002 Standard Practice for Making and Curing Test Specimens in the Laboratory Annual Book of ASTM Standard (West Conshohocken PA USA Philadelphia) Vol. 04-02 pages 1-8

[12] BS 1881-116 1989 *Method for Determination of Compressive Strength of Concrete Cubes* British Standard Institution pages 1-3

[13] ASTM C293 2016 Standard Test Method for Flexural Strength of Concrete (Using Simple Beam with Center-Point Loading) Annual Book of ASTM Standards (West Conshohocken PA USA) pages 1-4

[14] ASTM C642 2006 Standard Test Method for Density Absorption and Void in Hardened Concrete Annual Book of ASTM Standard (West Conshohocken PA. USA) pages 1-3