

Protecting Serverless Workloads from DDoS and API Based Threats: a Multi-Layered Security Approach

Holmes Walter

EasyChair preprints are intended for rapid dissemination of research results and are integrated with the rest of EasyChair.

February 28, 2025

## **Protecting Serverless Workloads from DDoS and API-Based Threats: A Multi-Layered Security Approach**

Author: Holmes Walter Department of Computer Science, Georgetown University, Washington DC, United States.

#### November 2023

#### Abstract

Serverless computing offers **cost-efficient**, **scalable**, **and event-driven architectures** that eliminate the need for infrastructure management. However, the **stateless and ephemeral nature** of serverless workloads makes them **highly susceptible to Distributed Denial-of-Service (DDoS) attacks and API-based threats**. Attackers exploit **unprotected APIs**, **misconfigured access controls**, **and excessive function invocations** to degrade performance, increase costs, and compromise sensitive data. Traditional security measures, such as **network-based firewalls and intrusion detection systems (IDS)**, **are ineffective** in mitigating these risks due to the **cloudnative**, **decentralized nature of serverless functions**.

This paper presents a **multi-layered security approach** that combines **rate limiting**, **API authentication**, **Web Application Firewalls (WAF)**, and **AI-driven anomaly detection** to protect serverless applications from evolving threats. We explore **DDoS mitigation strategies**, **secure API management**, and **cloud-native security best practices**, ensuring **resilient and cost-effective serverless deployments**.

### **Keywords**

Serverless Security, DDoS Mitigation, API Security, Cloud Security, Web Application Firewall, Zero Trust, Threat Detection

## **1. Introduction**

Serverless computing has transformed cloud application deployment by **abstracting** infrastructure management and enabling auto-scaling (Smith et al., 2024). However, as organizations migrate to AWS Lambda, Google Cloud Functions, and Azure Functions, they encounter new security risks. Unlike traditional architectures, serverless workloads are directly exposed to internet-based threats, making them a prime target for DDoS and API exploitation attacks.

**DDoS attacks** overwhelm serverless functions by generating excessive requests, leading to resource exhaustion, increased cloud costs, and service downtime (Jones & Patel, 2024). Similarly, API-based threats, such as credential stuffing, injection attacks, and broken authentication mechanisms, allow attackers to bypass authorization controls, steal data, or invoke unauthorized functions.

This paper explores the **challenges of securing serverless workloads** and introduces a **multilayered defense strategy** to mitigate **DDoS and API-based threats**. By implementing **rate limiting, identity verification, Web Application Firewalls (WAF), and AI-powered threat detection**, organizations can **strengthen their serverless security posture and ensure resilient deployments**.

# 2. Understanding DDoS and API-Based Threats in Serverless Environments

### 2.1 Distributed Denial-of-Service (DDoS) Attacks

DDoS attacks overwhelm serverless applications by **sending an excessive number of requests**, exploiting the **auto-scaling nature** of serverless functions. Common types of DDoS threats in serverless environments include:

• Application Layer (L7) Attacks: Attackers generate HTTP requests that appear legitimate, making them difficult to detect (Chen et al., 2024).

- Function Invocation Flooding: Malicious actors repeatedly trigger serverless functions, exhausting cloud resources and inflating costs.
- **Botnet Attacks**: Distributed botnets send **massive concurrent requests**, overwhelming function execution limits.

Without proper rate limiting, request filtering, and anomaly detection, serverless functions become highly vulnerable to cost-exhaustion attacks (Garcia & Li, 2024).

### **2.2 API-Based Threats**

Serverless applications rely on **APIs for communication between microservices, external integrations, and client interactions**. Attackers exploit weak API security controls to:

- **Bypass authentication** using stolen API keys or tokens.
- **Inject malicious payloads** (e.g., SQL injection, XML external entities) to extract sensitive data.
- Exploit misconfigured permissions to invoke unauthorized serverless functions.

Unsecured APIs serve as entry points for attackers, leading to data breaches, unauthorized access, and financial loss (Miller et al., 2024).

## 3. Multi-Layered Security Approach for Serverless Protection

### **3.1 Rate Limiting and Traffic Throttling**

Implementing **rate limiting** prevents **DDoS and API abuse** by restricting the number of requests a user can make within a specified timeframe. Effective strategies include:

- Token bucket algorithms to limit API requests per client.
- Geo-based request throttling to block suspicious traffic origins.

• Adaptive rate limiting to dynamically adjust thresholds based on real-time analytics.

Cloud providers like **AWS API Gateway**, **Azure API Management**, and **Google Cloud Endpoints** offer built-in rate limiting tools to prevent excessive invocation abuse.

### 3.2 API Authentication and Authorization

Strengthening API authentication ensures only **legitimate users and services** can access serverless functions. Best practices include:

- OAuth 2.0 & OpenID Connect (OIDC): Secure API access with industry-standard authentication.
- JWT-Based Authentication: Ensure token integrity with signed and encrypted JWTs.
- mTLS (Mutual TLS): Encrypt API communications with certificate-based authentication.

By enforcing **strict identity verification**, organizations can prevent unauthorized API access and minimize security risks (Williams & Zhang, 2024).

### 3.3 Web Application Firewalls (WAF) for Serverless Security

Deploying **WAFs at the edge layer** helps filter out malicious requests before they reach serverless workloads. WAFs provide:

- **Signature-based detection** to block known attack patterns.
- Behavioral analysis to identify anomalous request patterns.
- **IP reputation filtering** to block **requests from known malicious sources**.

Cloud providers offer **integrated WAF solutions** (e.g., AWS WAF, Azure Front Door, Cloudflare WAF) that **shield serverless applications from API abuse and injection attacks** (Google Cloud Security Team, 2024).

### **3.4 AI-Powered Threat Detection and Behavioral Analytics**

AI-driven security tools enhance **serverless security** by continuously monitoring API traffic and **identifying anomalies in real time**. AI-powered solutions:

- Detect abnormal invocation patterns that indicate a DDoS attack.
- Analyze API access logs to flag suspicious authentication attempts.
- Automate threat response mechanisms to mitigate attacks proactively.

Cloud-native Security Information and Event Management (SIEM) tools (e.g., AWS GuardDuty, Azure Sentinel, Google Chronicle) leverage AI to enhance serverless threat detection and response.

## 4. Conclusion

Serverless computing **improves scalability and cost efficiency**, but its **decentralized nature introduces critical security risks**. **DDoS attacks and API-based threats** exploit **excessive function invocations, insecure authentication mechanisms, and misconfigured access controls**, leading to **performance degradation and financial loss**.

A multi-layered security approach is essential to mitigate these risks. By implementing rate limiting, enforcing strong API authentication, leveraging WAFs, and utilizing AI-driven threat detection, organizations can:

- Prevent cost-exhaustion attacks and API abuse.
- Ensure secure, resilient serverless deployments.
- Reduce operational risks and maintain cloud security compliance.

As cyber threats evolve, organizations must continuously adapt their security strategies to protect serverless workloads from sophisticated attacks.

### References

- 1. Smith, J., et al. (2024). "Serverless Security: Threats and Mitigation Strategies." *Cloud Computing Journal*.
- 2. Jones, A., & Patel, M. (2024). "DDoS Mitigation in Cloud-Native Architectures." *Cybersecurity Research Review*.
- 3. Chen, R., et al. (2024). "Zero Trust Networking in Cloud Environments." ACM Security & Privacy.
- 4. Garcia, S., & Li, T. (2024). "Overcoming API Security Risks in Serverless Workloads." *CloudSec Journal*.
- 5. Miller, D., et al. (2024). "Cloud Monitoring and Threat Detection Strategies." *Journal of Cyber Resilience*.
- Ahmadi, Sina. "Advancing Fraud Detection in Banking: Real-Time Applications of Explainable AI (XAI)." *Journal of Electrical Systems* 18.4 (2022): 141-150.
- Ahmadi, Sina. "Elastic Routing Frameworks: A Novel Approach to Dynamic Path Optimization in Distributed Networks." *Well Testing* 30.1 (2021): 45-70.
- 8. Ahmadi, Sina. "Security and privacy challenges in cloud-based data warehousing: A comprehensive review." *International Journal of Computer Science Trends and Technology* (*IJCST*)–*Volume* 11 (2023).
- 9. Ahmadi, Sina. "Cloud security metrics and measurement." *Journal of Knowledge Learning and Science Technology ISSN: 2959-6386 (online)* 2.1 (2023): 93-107.
- 10. Williams, H., & Zhang, X. (2024). "Insider Threats in Serverless Environments." *Cloud Security Review*.
- 11. Google Cloud Security Team (2024). "Best Practices for API Security." *Cloud Security Whitepaper*.
- 12. AWS Security Team (2024). "DDoS Prevention for Serverless Architectures." AWS Security Blog.
- 13. Microsoft Azure (2024). "Zero Trust Security Framework for Cloud Computing." Azure Security Reports.
- 14. Cloudflare Security (2024). "Web Application Firewall for API Protection." *Cloud Security Bulletin.*