EasyChair Preprint

№ 9419

Deep on Goldbach's Conjecture

Frank Vega

August 23, 2023

Deep on Goldbach's conjecture

Frank Vega[1*]

[1*]Research Department, NataSquad, 10 rue de la Paix, Paris, 75002, France.

Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): vega.frank@gmail.com;

Abstract

Goldbach's conjecture is one of the most difficult unsolved problems in mathematics. This states that every even natural number greater than 2 is the sum of two prime numbers. The Goldbach's conjecture has been verified for every even number $N \leq 4 \cdot 10^{18}$. In this note, we prove that for every even number $N \geq 4 \cdot 10^{18}$, if there is a prime p and a natural number m such that $n < p < N - 1$, $p + m = N$, $\frac{N}{\sigma(m)} + n^{0.889} + 1 + \frac{m-1}{2} \geq n$ and p is coprime with m, then m is necessarily a prime number when $N = 2 \cdot n$ and $\sigma(m)$ is the sum-of-divisors function of m. The previous inequality $\frac{N}{\sigma(m)} + n^{0.889} + 1 + \frac{m-1}{2} \geq n$ holds whenever $\frac{N}{e^{\gamma} \cdot m \cdot \log \log m} + n^{0.889} + 1 + \frac{m-1}{2} \geq n$ also holds and $m \geq 11$ is an odd number, where $\gamma \approx 0.57721$ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant and $\log$ is the natural logarithm. This implies that the Goldbach's conjecture is true when the Riemann hypothesis is true.

Keywords: Goldbach's conjecture, Prime numbers, Sum-of-divisors function, Euler's totient function

MSC Classification: 11A41 , 11A25

1 Introduction

As usual $\sigma(n)$ is the sum-of-divisors function of n

$$\sum_{d|n} d,$$

where $d \mid n$ means the integer d divides n. Define $s(n)$ as $\frac{\sigma(n)}{n}$. In number theory, the *p-adic* order of an integer n is the exponent of the highest power of the prime number p that divides n. It is denoted $\nu_p(n)$. Equivalently, $\nu_p(n)$ is the exponent to which p appears in the prime factorization of n. We can state the sum-of-divisors function of n as

$$\sigma(n) = \prod_{p \mid n} \frac{p^{\nu_p(n)+1} - 1}{p - 1}$$

with the product extending over all prime numbers p which divide n. In addition, the well-known Euler's totient function $\varphi(n)$ can be formulated as

$$\varphi(n) = n \cdot \prod_{p \mid n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right).$$

The Goldbach's conjecture has been verified for every even number $N \leq 4 \cdot 10^{18}$ [1]. In mathematics, two integers a and b are coprime, if the only positive integer that is a divisor of both of them is 1. Putting all together yields the proof of the main theorem.

Theorem 1 *For every even number $N \geq 4 \cdot 10^{18}$, if there is a prime p and a natural number m such that $n < p < N - 1$, $p + m = N$, $\frac{N}{\sigma(m)} + n^{0.889} + 1 + \frac{m-1}{2} \geq n$ and p is coprime with m, then m is necessarily a prime number when $N = 2 \cdot n$. The previous inequality $\frac{N}{\sigma(m)} + n^{0.889} + 1 + \frac{m-1}{2} \geq n$ holds whenever $\frac{N}{e^{\gamma} \cdot m \cdot \log \log m} + n^{0.889} + 1 + \frac{m-1}{2} \geq n$ also holds and $m \geq 11$ is an odd number, where $\gamma \approx 0.57721$ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant and* log *is the natural logarithm. This implies that the Goldbach's conjecture is true when the Riemann hypothesis is true.*

2 Proof of Theorem 1

Proof Suppose that there is an even number $N \geq 4 \cdot 10^{18}$ which is not a sum of two distinct prime numbers. We consider all the pairs of positive integers $(n - k, n + k)$ where $n = \frac{N}{2}$, $k < n - 1$ is a natural number, $n + k$ and $n - k$ are coprime integers and $n + k$ is prime. By definition of the functions $\sigma(x)$ and $\varphi(x)$, we know that

$$2 \cdot N = \sigma((n-k) \cdot (n+k)) - \varphi((n-k) \cdot (n+k))$$

when $n - k$ is also prime. We notice that

$$2 \cdot N < \sigma((n-k) \cdot (n+k)) - \varphi((n-k) \cdot (n+k))$$

when $n - k$ is not a prime. Certainly, we see that $(n - k) + (n + k) = N$ and thus, the inequality

$$2 \cdot ((n-k) + (n+k)) + \varphi((n-k) \cdot (n+k)) < \sigma((n-k) \cdot (n+k))$$

holds when $n - k$ is not a prime. That is equivalent to

$$2 \cdot ((n-k) + (n+k)) + \varphi(n-k) \cdot \varphi(n+k) < \sigma(n-k) \cdot \sigma(n+k)$$

since the functions $\sigma(x)$ and $\varphi(x)$ are multiplicative. Let's divide both sides by $(n-k)\cdot(n+k)$ to obtain that

$$2\cdot\left(\frac{(n-k)+(n+k)}{(n-k)\cdot(n+k)}\right)+\frac{\varphi(n-k)}{n-k}\cdot\frac{\varphi(n+k)}{n+k}<s(n-k)\cdot s(n+k).$$

We know that

$$s(n-k)\cdot s(n+k)>1$$

since $s(m)>1$ for every natural number $m>1$ [2]. Moreover, we could see that

$$2\cdot\left(\frac{(n-k)+(n+k)}{(n-k)\cdot(n+k)}\right)=\frac{2}{n+k}+\frac{2}{n-k}$$

and therefore,

$$1>\frac{2}{n+k}+\frac{2}{n-k}+\frac{\varphi(n-k)}{n-k}\cdot\frac{\varphi(n+k)}{n+k}.$$

It is enough to see that

$$1>\frac{2}{2\cdot 10^{18}}+\frac{2}{9}+\frac{2}{3}\geq\frac{2}{n+k}+\frac{2}{n-k}+\frac{\varphi(n-k)}{n-k}\cdot\frac{\varphi(n+k)}{n+k}$$

when $n+k$ is prime and $n-k$ is composite for $N\geq 4\cdot 10^{18}$. Indeed, when $n+k$ is prime and $n-k$ is composite, then $n+k>2\cdot 10^{18}$ and $n-k\geq 9$ for $N\geq 4\cdot 10^{18}$. Under our assumption, all these pairs of positive integers $(n-k,n+k)$ imply that

$$2\cdot N<\sigma((n-k)\cdot(n+k))-\varphi((n-k)\cdot(n+k))$$

holds whenever $n=\frac{N}{2}$, $k<n-1$ is a natural number, $n+k$ and $n-k$ are coprime integers and $n+k$ is prime. Hence, we have

$$N<\frac{1}{2}\cdot\left(\sigma(n-k)\cdot\sigma(n+k)-\varphi(n-k)\cdot\varphi(n+k)\right).$$

Since $n+k$ is prime, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\varphi(n+k)}{1+n^{0.889}} &= \frac{n+k-1}{1+n^{0.889}}\\
&\geq \frac{n}{1+n^{0.889}}\\
&\geq 2\cdot\left(e^{\gamma}\cdot\log\log(n-1)+\frac{2.5}{\log\log(n-1)}\right)^2\\
&\geq 2\cdot\left(e^{\gamma}\cdot\log\log(n-k)+\frac{2.5}{\log\log(n-k)}\right)^2\\
&> 2\cdot\left(\frac{n-k}{\varphi(n-k)}\right)^2\\
&= \frac{n-k}{\varphi(n-k)}\cdot 2\cdot\prod_{q\mid(n-k)}\left(\frac{q}{q-1}\right)\\
&> s(n-k)\cdot 2\cdot\prod_{q\mid(n-k)}\left(\frac{q}{q-1}\right)\\
&= \frac{2\cdot\sigma(n-k)}{(n-k)\cdot\prod_{q\mid(n-k)}\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right)}\\
&= \frac{2\cdot\sigma(n-k)}{\varphi(n-k)}
\end{aligned}$$

when we know that $\frac{b}{\varphi(b)} < e^{\gamma} \cdot \log\log(b) + \frac{2.5}{\log\log(b)}$ holds for every odd number $b \geq 3$ [3]. Moreover, we have

$$\frac{n}{1+n^{0.889}} \geq 2 \cdot \left(e^{\gamma} \cdot \log\log(n-1) + \frac{2.5}{\log\log(n-1)}\right)^2$$

for every natural number $n \geq 2 \cdot 10^{18}$ under the supposition that $N \geq 4 \cdot 10^{18}$. Certainly, the function

$$f(x) = \frac{x}{1+x^{0.889}} - 2 \cdot \left(e^{\gamma} \cdot \log\log(x-1) + \frac{2.5}{\log\log(x-1)}\right)^2$$

is strictly increasing and positive for every real number $x \geq 2 \cdot 10^{18}$ because of its derivative is greater than 0 for all $x \geq 2 \cdot 10^{18}$ and it is positive in the value of $2 \cdot 10^{18}$. Furthermore, it is known that $\prod_{q \mid b} \left(\frac{q}{q-1}\right) = \frac{b}{\varphi(b)} > s(b) = \frac{\sigma(b)}{b}$ for every natural number $b \geq 2$ [2]. Finally, we would have that

$$-\frac{1}{2} \cdot \varphi(n-k) \cdot \varphi(n+k) < -\sigma(n-k) \cdot (1+n^{0.889})$$

and so,

$$N < \frac{1}{2} \cdot \sigma(n-k) \cdot \sigma(n+k) - \sigma(n-k) \cdot (1+n^{0.889}).$$

We would have

$$\frac{N}{\sigma(n-k)} + n^{0.889} + 1 < \frac{\sigma(n+k)}{2}$$

which is

$$\frac{N}{\sigma(n-k)} + n^{0.889} + 1 + \frac{n-k-1}{2} < n.$$

In this way, we obtain a contradiction when we assume that $\frac{N}{\sigma(n-k)} + n^{0.889} + 1 + \frac{n-k-1}{2} \geq n$. By reductio ad absurdum, the natural number $n-k$ is necessarily prime when $\frac{N}{\sigma(n-k)} + n^{0.889} + 1 + \frac{n-k-1}{2} \geq n$. Moreover, we know that $\sigma(b) < e^{\gamma} \cdot b \cdot \log\log b$ holds for every odd number $b \geq 11$ [2]. Consequently, the inequality $\frac{N}{\sigma(n-k)} + n^{0.889} + 1 + \frac{n-k-1}{2} \geq n$ holds whenever $\frac{N}{e^{\gamma} \cdot (n-k) \cdot \log\log(n-k)} + n^{0.889} + 1 + \frac{n-k-1}{2} \geq n$ also holds and $(n-k) \geq 11$ is an odd number. In 2014, Dudek proved that the Riemann hypothesis implies that for all $x \geq 2$ there is a prime p satisfying [4]

$$x - \frac{4}{\pi}\sqrt{x}\log x < p \leq x.$$

In this way, there is always a prime $n+k$ for some integer $k \approx \sqrt{n} \cdot \log^2 n$. Finally, we obtain that the inequality $\frac{2 \cdot n}{e^{\gamma} \cdot (n-k) \cdot \log\log(n-k)} + n^{0.889} + 1 + \frac{n-k-1}{2} \geq n$ holds for all positive integers $n \geq 2 \cdot 10^{18}$ and some integer $k \approx \sqrt{n} \cdot \log^2 n$ since the function $H(x) = \frac{x}{(x-\sqrt{x} \cdot \log^2 x) \cdot \log\log(x-\sqrt{x} \cdot \log^2 x)} + x^{0.889} + 1 + \frac{x-\sqrt{x} \cdot \log^2 x - 1}{2} - x$ is positive for all $x \geq 2 \cdot 10^{18}$ (See Figure 1). □

References

[1] T.O. Silva. Goldbach conjecture verification. http://sweet.ua.pt/tos/goldbach.html. Accessed 27 December 2022



Fig. 1 Root plot of function $H(x)$ [5]

[2] Y. Choie, N. Lichiardopol, P. Moree, P. Solé, On Robin's criterion for the Riemann hypothesis. Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux **19**(2), 357–372 (2007). https://doi.org/10.5802/jtnb.591

[3] J.B. Rosser, L. Schoenfeld, Approximate Formulas for Some Functions of Prime Numbers. Illinois Journal of Mathematics **6**(1), 64–94 (1962). https://doi.org/10.1215/ijm/1255631807

[4] A.W. Dudek, On the Riemann hypothesis and the difference between primes. International Journal of Number Theory **11**(03), 771–778 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1142/S1793042115500426

[5] Equation Solver - Wolfram Alpha. Root plot of function *H(x)*. https://www.wolframalpha.com/input?i2d=true&i=%5Cfrac%5C%28123%29x%5C%28125%29%5C%28123%29%5C%2840%29x-+%5Csqrt%5C%28123%29x%5C%28125%29+%5Ccdot+Power%5B%5C%2840%29%5Clog+x%5C%2841%29%2C2%5D%5C%2841%29+%5Ccdot+%5Clog+%5Clog+%5C%2840%29x-%5Csqrt%5C%28123%29x%5C%28125%29+%5Ccdot+Power%5B%5C%2840%29%5Clog+x%5C%2841%29%2C2%5D%5C%2841%29%5C%28125%29+%2B+Power%5Bx%2C0.889%5D+%2B+1+%2B+%5Cfrac%5C%28123%29x-%5Csqrt%5C%28123%29x%5C%28125%29+%5Ccdot+Power%5B%5C%2840%29%5Clog+x%5C%2841%29%2C2%5D+-+1%5C%28125%29%5C%28123%292%5C%28125%29+-+x%3D0. Accessed 22 August 2023