

# Evaluation of Strength Test Results of Concrete in Libya (Case Study)

Heba Jebali and Zedan Hatush

EasyChair preprints are intended for rapid dissemination of research results and are integrated with the rest of EasyChair.

March 17, 2022

تقييم نتائج اختبار مقاومة الخرسانة في ليبيا ( در اسة حالة ) م. هبة الجبالي<sup>1</sup>، د. زيدان حتوش<sup>2</sup> 1 ماجستير، قسم الهندسة المدنية، جامعة طر ابلس، طر ابلس، ليبيا 2 دكتور اه، أستاذ، قسم الهندسة المدنية ، طر ابلس، ليبيا

#### ملخص

الخرسانة هي إحدى مواد البناء الرئيسية في صناعة البناء والتشييد في ليبيا، ربما تكون الخرسانة هي المادة الوحيدة المستخدمة في تشييد المباني ويتم إنتاجها من تـلاث عناصر رئيسية؛ وهي الاسمنت والركام والماء. وعليه يجب أن يكون المنتج متوافقاً مع المواصفات القياسية في مراحل التصنيع لضمان الجودة المقبولة. حيث تتركز هذه الدراسة على إنشاء برنامج حاسوبي يسمى (Concrete Expert) بناءً على المعايير الأمريكية ACI214 والذي يعمل علي مراقبة جودة الخرسانة بشكل مستمر طوال فترة التنفيذ ويسجل القراءات اليومية لاختبارات مقاومة الخرسانة وبناءً عليه تم إنشاء مخططات التحكم لكل بيانات اختبار مقاومة الخرسانة. حيث تكمن أهمية مخططات التحكم للمتغيرات في التعبير عن حالة النظام (داخل أو خارج حدود التحكم). يسجل النظام البيانات ، ويحلل ، ثم يحدد اختبارات المقاومة الفردية ، والمتوسط المتحرك ، ومدى الحركة ، ونسبة الحد الأدنى من المقاومة إلى مقاومة التصميم ، ومدى كفاية التحكم في عمليات الخرسانة والاختبارات. يمكن الاستفادة من بيانات الاختبارات المقاومة الزدية ، والمتوسط المتحرك ، ومدى الحركة ، ونسبة الحد الأدنى من المقاومة إلى مقاومة التصميم ، ومدى كفاية التحكم في إنتاج خرسانة عالية الجودة للمشاريع ؛ لتحديد مدى كفاية إجراءات الاختبارات ، وإنشاء العلاقات التحكم في إنتاج خرسانة والاختبارات. يمكن الاستفادة من بيانات الاختبارات لهذه الأغراض: لمساعدة مصنع المودية، وصقلها لمتحرك ، ومدى الحركة ، ونسبة الحد الأدنى من المقاومة إلى مقاومة التصميم ، ومدى كفاية التحكم في إنتاج خرسانة عالية الجودة للمشاريع ؛ لتحديد مدى كفاية إجراءات الاختبارات ، وإنشاء العلاقات الخلطات في إنتاج خرسانة عالية الجودة للمشاريع ، لتحديد مدى كفاية إجراءات الاختبارات ، وإنشاء العلاقات البقصفية وصقلها لمشاريع مماثلة في المستقبل. تم تطبيق البرنامج الحاسوبي لاختبارات ، وإنشاء العلاقات الخلطات في إنتاج خرسانة عالية الحشرة مشاريع من خلال إدخال بيانات مختلفة في قترات زمنية في ليبيا ، وكذلك مقارنة وتقييم جودة الخرسانة لعشرة مشاريع من خلال إدخال بيانات مختلفة في فترات زمنية مختلفة. حيث أظهرت النتائج أن مراقبة الجودة تراوحت من ممتاز إلى جيد حسب التصنيف مختلفة. حيث أظهرت النتائج أن مراقبة الجودة تراوحت من ممتاز إلى جعيف حسب التصنيف مختلفة. منه خال الخالينا ويناية الالمنانية الالمنية (Overall Varia

كلمات دالة: ضبط الجودة ، الخرسانة ، مخططات التحكم ، مقاومة الضغط.

## Evaluation of Strength Test Results of Concrete in Libya (Case Study)

Eng. Heba Jebali<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Z. Hatush<sup>2</sup>

1 MSc Graduate, Department of Civil Engineering, Tripoli University, Tripoli, Libya 2 PhD, P. Eng, Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Tripoli University, Tripoli, Libya

#### ABSTRACT

Concrete is one of the major construction materials in building construction industry. In Libya, concrete is probably the only materials used for building constructions and it is produced from three basic ingredients; namely, cement, aggregate and water. Accordingly, the product should be compatible with the standard specifications within manufacturing stages to ensure acceptable quality. This study focuses on creating system called (Concrete Expert) based on American Standards ACI214, that monitors concrete quality continuously throughout the implementation period and records daily readings of compressive strength tests and accordingly the control charts for each strength test data were established. The importance of control charts for the variables lies in the expression of the system status (inside or outside the control limits). The system records data, analyzes, and then determines the individual strength tests, moving average, moving range, ratio of minimum strength to design strength, and the adequacy of control over concrete and testing operations. The test data could be benefited for these purposes: to assist the batch plant in the production of high-quality concrete for projects; to determine the adequacy of testing procedures, and to establish and refine the statistical relationships for future similar projects. The system was applied to test the efficiency of the performance two huge projects in Libya, also comparison and assessment quality of concrete for ten projects by inserting different records in different intervals of time; results show that the quality control ranged from excellent to a good as per the classification (Overall Variation/General construction testing) and ranged from fair to poor as per the classification (Within-test variations/Field controlling testing) according to ACI214.

Keywords: Quality control ,concrete, control charts, compressive strength.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

In recent years, suffered many of the concrete structures collapsed have caused in many deaths and damage the buildings, which mainly due to the poor concrete quality and control. Moreover, the ingredients of concrete should be of good quality that satisfies the requirements set in standards. which in order to get quality concrete product, which satisfies the strength and durability requirement. In addition, to follow the concrete production process, quality control and corrective procedures to obtain the desired quality concrete. Therefore, A good and a bad concrete may be made of exactly the same ingredients if there is a difference on the quality control of the production. (Abebe et al. 2005).

Previous study by Chen, Sung, and Shih (2004) found that the usual primary requirement of good concrete in its hardened state is a satisfactory compressive strength, but there are properties must be ensured such as density, tensile strength, impermeability, resistance to abrasion. To guarantee good citation.

Concrete in reinforced concrete structure (RC) is generally under significant compressive stress load. As reported by American Concrete Institute code (ACI318-95) to guarantee required quality and ductility, various tests have to be conducted to measure the concrete's compressive strength. The ductility of the RC structure is mostly influenced by the compressive strength of its concrete. Then, the fit compressive strength of concrete can be determined based on the ductile ratio. It takes daily concrete samples for strength tests and evaluation of the average compressive strength, it will seriously affect the ductile ratio of the structure. On the other hand, if the deviation of compressive strength of concrete is over the limit, it causes imbalance to the ductile ratio of structure, and adversely influence the seismic capability of the structure (Chen, Sung, and Shih 2004).

Statistical process control (SPC) is a powerful collection of problem-solving tools useful in achieving process stability and improving capability through the reduction of variability (Montgomery 2009). Thus, (SPC) is one of the greatest technological developments of the twentieth century because it is based on sound underlying principles, is easy to use, has significant impact, and can be applied to any process (Montgomery 2009). As reported by Evaluation of Strength Test Results of Concrete ACI Committee 214R-02. Statistical procedures provide tools of considerable value when evaluating the results of strength tests. (Kane 1986) So statistical methods are used to evaluate the manufacturing capacity and quality control of manufacturers. First, the standard deviation is decided by at least thirty successive sets of test results of dispensed concrete prescriptions, and then the average compressive strength requirement of construction, although the dispensed prescriptions of concrete are the same, some uncertain factors may cause imbalance to the deviation of compressive strength of concrete and affect the engineering quality and the required compressive intensity and ductility of the structure. It may even cause an unexpected structure collapse. paragraph Standard-paragraph.

## THE OBJECTIVES

The purpose of this research is to develop a quality control system of concrete to undertake qualitative and quantitative assessment on the quality of concrete in the building construction industry of Libya using computer program (Concrete Expert). It works to monitor concrete quality continuously throughout the implementation period, which is aiming to achieve the following objectives: -

- Assess the control level of concrete and to apply the criteria of the required average strength based on (ACI 214R-02).
- To objectively evaluate the fitness and stability degree of compressive strength of concrete. To evaluate the level of quality control of concrete.
- To draw control charts for variables (Average, X), (Range, R) for any period of time required.
- To display the results of all tests at any time and obtain respective reports.

#### METHODOLOGY

- General information about production stages, and sequence of operation, were gathered.
- Historical data of previous concrete production, and covers all the relevant material to evaluate of quality control of concrete compressive strength.
- Study ACI approach and the set of criteria to evaluate the concrete quality and control according (ACI 214R-02).

Computer program (Concrete Expert) created by using (C Sharp) Language will be used to evaluate and analyze the quality of concrete.

## INTRODUCTION TO QUALITY CONTROL

Quality Control (QC) is all the measures that are taken during material selection, concrete production processes and on finished concrete products to ensure the compliance of works with the specification. Hence, concrete quality affects the overall quality of buildings to a higher extent. Moreover, to get quality concrete products, proper care and control has to be done during ingredient selection and production processes. It should also be reminded that all professionals and firms involved in the construction industry have to give special emphasis to quality control (Abebe et al. 2005).

According to the Evaluation of Strength Test Results of Concrete ACI Committee 214R-02, strength test results vary. Variations in measured strength may originate from any of the following sources:

- Batch-to-batch variations of the proportions and characteristics of the constituent materials in the concrete, the production, delivery, and handling process, and climatic conditions; and
- Variations in the sampling, specimen preparation, curing, and testing procedures (within-test).

#### **Control Charts**

Quality-control charts have been used by manufacturing industries for many years as aids in reducing variability, increasing production efficiency, and identifying trends as early as practicable. It is an essential tool of continuous quality control; monitor processes to show how performing and how capabilities are affected by changing in processes.

Control charts determine if a process is under control or out of control, also monitor the variance of output of a process over different intervals of times, by comparing this variance against upper and lower limits to see if it fits within the expected, specific, predictable and normal variation levels.

If so, the process is considered in control and the variance between measurements is considered normal random variation that is inherent in the process. If, however, the variance falls outside the limits, or has a run of non-natural points, the process is considered out of control (Elshiekh and khayal 2018).

When the variation between the points is large enough for the process to be out of control, the variation is determined to be due to non-natural or assignable (special) causes.

Average Charts (X-bar Chart) Average chart in (Figure.1). Shows the results of all strength tests plotted in succession based on casting date. Chart often includes the specified strength and may include the acceptance criteria for individual tests. This chart is useful because it shows all of the available data but it can be difficult to detect meaningful shifts in a timely fashion (ACI214R-02).

$$\overline{x} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} Xi}{n}$$

$$UCL = f'_{cr} + A2R^{-}$$

$$LCL = f'_{cr} - A2R^{-}$$
(1)

**Range Charts (R-Chart)** The lower chart in (Figure.1). Shows the moving average of the range, the maximum difference between companion cylinders comprising a single strength test, which is used to monitor the repeatability of testing.

The laboratory has the responsibility of making accurate tests, and concrete will be penalized unnecessarily if tests show greater variations or lower average strength levels than actually exist. Because the range in strength between companion specimens from the same sample can be assumed to be the responsibility of 41 the laboratory, a control chart for ranges should be maintained by the laboratory as a check on the uniformity of its operations.

These changes will not reveal day-to-day differences in testing, curing, capping, and testing procedures or testing procedures that affect measured strength levels over long periods (ACI214R-02).

$$R = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} R_{i}}{n}$$

$$UCL = D4R^{2}$$

$$LCL = D3R^{2}$$
(2)



Figure.1. Three Simplified Quality Control Charts: Individual Strength Tests, Moving Average for Strength and Moving Average for Range

## SOFTWARE CONCRETE EXPRET

#### Introduction

Concrete Expert is the module of the concrete quality package. It is created to improve quality control process, mix design and raw materials management. The compressive strength test results of concrete were evaluated according to ACI 214R-02 (Standard for guidance in planning, designing, executing, and inspecting construction). Moreover, the Concrete Expert was determined as follows:

*Inputs* The software inputs include:

- 1. Details of projects information such as owner, location, contractor.
- 2. Physical tests types of raw materials (aggregates, cements, water).
- 3. Equipment calibration and verification.
- 4. Batch plant: actual and intended batch quantities (every truck), customer details.
- 5. Fresh concrete tests, and in situ temperature records.
- 6. Hardened concrete test result.

## Processing

- 1. Calculate the average results for strength and range for every test in any period of time.
- 2. Calculate the upper and lower control limit for any experiment at any period of time.
- 3. Criteria for strength requirement-determine the minimum required average strength concrete performance.
- 4. Compare the status that the pattern will be out of control, with samples distribution in the chart for any period of time.

#### Outputs

- 1. Establish multiple functions at the database such as adding or deleting records.
- 2. Viewing the contents of the database Statistics table for any period of time include Standard Deviation, adjusted standard deviation, Coefficient of Variation, Within-Test Standard Deviation, Within-Test Coefficient of Variation.
- 3. Construct quality control charts for (Individual test, moving average for strength of three, and Moving average for range of ten) at any period of time required, and express the suitable treatment if it needs.
- 4. Printing all outputs as reported.

*Robust pro-active Scheduling* The software was written in C-Sharp, which is a programming language, and development environment created by Microsoft that runs on the .NET Framework.

In addition, it is based on C++ and contains features similar to those of Java. It is a simple, modern, and object-oriented language that provides modern day developers flexibility and features to build software that will not only work today but will be applicable for years in the future.

*User interface* the software contains three forms which could be explained as follows:

#### **The Main Form**

When starting the program, this form will display first. The title of this form is Projects; (Figure.2). The anymore forms will carry out from the main form as Add Project, Materials, Batches, Concrete QA/QC.

1.1. A 10.1.1.1.	11	A							7 A	-	
ojects Calibration	Master Data	Concrete QA/QC					_	-11	H-AL	-	
Project Name :											
Project Date :	Select a date							Ĩ			
Contractors :											
	Search	Add Project									
PROJECT NAME	DATE	CONTRACTOR		LOCATION	CLIENT	CONSULTING			PROJECT DETAILS	PROJECT BATCHES	
					وز تفذ مذرونات السكل واعراق		2	3	MATERIALS	BATCHES	
first period	ĥ	dco		ليبا - طرابلس	جهاز تنعية وتطوير اعراكز الإدارية		1	-	MATERIALS	BATCHES	
Third Ring Road	30-10-2008 Li	ibyan Brazilian Construc	tion and Developmen				1	-	MATERIALS	BATCHES	
		hmed contractor					1		MATERIALS	BATCHES	

Figure 2: Main Form

## The Processing Screen

This screen named Project Batches, which includes two tabs: The first tab (Figure.3) called Batch add/ edit which display add and save the daily batch quantities, fresh concrete testing data. The second tab in (Figure.4) called Specimen Tests which enter the hardened concrete tests (Test Results). In these screens there are about four commands help users in displaying, adding, saving, deleting, reporting and canceling as shown.

	Betch Name (							S NOTE INFOR		AIA	3	REPORTS	
	Dute (	Select a state	13	Hung Time:	0 :	0 :	0			P		Del	unty Espert
	Customers			lines	0 :	0 :	0		/28				
	Ster		•	le .				4	7	R.S.			
	MicType			Paret			•	-		TEL		and a	1
	Quantity (Volum) :	184		Volume Unit:			. 2	1		181			1
		T		110			-	a	-	15h			
	-	0		1	in		THE		A		Serve		
ri I	Concrete Pouckry	Betch Companient			111m				appending 1	IT DR M REI			-
			· · · · · ·	a	111			11	Page 1	III MARA			Cargoneri
			MOISTURE %	WAT IR ABSO	RE TICKING	QUANT	ITMISET WEIGTH	QUANTIDUACI	TUAL WEIGHT;	DIFFERENCE	COMMENT	Add Now Congenerat	Camponed
			· · · · · ·	WATER ABSO	RE LICK (KG	QUANT	UNISET WHIGH	QUANTINIACI	IVAL WEIGHT;	DFERING	COMMENT	Add Now Companies	Campanent
			· · · · · ·	WAT IR ABSO	RE LICH (ING	QUANT	ITMISET WHIGH	QUANTITIVALIŞ	IUAL WEIGHT;	DIFFERINCE	CONTRACT	All Hor Congarant Material : Quartity(Dy) :	Component
			· · · · · ·	WATERAESO	NR TICKING	QUANT	ETYCSET WHIGH	QUANTITIVIALI	TUAL WEIGHT;	DFHRING	COMMENT	All Hos Corganit Material : Quantity(Dryl : Unit	Canganant
			· · · · · ·	WATERAESO	HER TOCHING	QUANT	ETYSET WHIGH	QUANTITATIC	TUAL WEIGHT;	DFHRING	COMMENT	All Hoe Corporat Material Quantity(Dry) : Unit Moleture	Composed
			· · · · · ·	WATIRABSO	KE IDONGOG	QUANT	ITMSET WEIGTH	QJANITINALQ	TUAL WEIGHT;	DFFERINCE	CONNENT	All Hos Corganit Material : Quantity(Dryl : Unit	Congeneer
MAI			· · · · · ·		RETICIPLES	QUANT	DYSET WEGTH	Active View		DPFERINCE	COMMENT	All Hoe Corporat Material Quantity(Dry) : Unit Moleture	Cangonard
MAT	IRAAL QUANTE		MOISTURE %		HR TOCHYNG	QUANT	ETYSET WHIGH			DIFFERENCE	CONNENT	All Hor Corporat Materia Crantity (by) : Unit Northare Water Absolution	Crepored

Figure 3: Project Batch Information

										A	1			Spectmen	Export	
	i Tati Sam								21	I	2					
SPECIMEN NO	SPECIMEN TYPE	MSE	UNIT	STRENGTH	STRENGTH UNIT	CRUSHING DATE	DOM HEIGHT	UNIT	DUM WEDTH	UNIT	OW LENGTH	UNCT	WEG-IT	UNT	DENSTY	1
54	CUBE	25.00	days	42.00	NFa		150£0	m	150.00	an	152.00	m				-
13	CLI3:	123	digi	\$5.50	NFa		19040	m	15000	m	15200	-				
2																
🕘 DETAILS								_								
🕤 savifunc																
🕤 (LUBING																
) DIVENSIO																
									the second s							

Figure 4: Specimen Tests Information

## **Constructing Chart and Analysis Screen**

This screen named Concrete QC, which includes four tabs:

The first tab in (Figure.5) called Static Table which display data for any certain period of project, where you can select a starting date and ending date for your analysis.

PROJECTS	0	Project Name	third ring rol	id						1				
tro 2 Ihird Ring Road	Back	DateFrom :	01/01/2006	Dat Dat	e To:	01/01/2015	58		11					
irst period									31	El Ston				
hird ring roud(IRD )		CALCU	LATE STATIC TABLE	0	ITERIA FOR STR	RENGTH REQUIRM	INT		PEINT	1				
				100				0		TIN I				
		Search:						-		INTER			(Anna	Am
COLUMNS FILTER	No	Date	7/D Strength	28/D Strength	Difference	[Difference]	Range	7->8%	MA3	MR10	Batch Name	Planet	Site	Cement Type
BatchTime	2048	17/02/2009	32.5	40	0.74	0.55	0	23.08	37.33	39.3	batch 37	LBCD BP1	BusinessLayer.LkpData	Type 1
Average3	2049	22/02/2009	34	40	0.74	0.55	0	17.65	39	39	batch 36	LBCD BP1	BusinessLayer.LkpData	
Average7	2050	21/02/2009	34	39	-0.26	0.07	0	14.71	39.67	38.6	batch 35	LBCD 8P1	BusinessLayer.LkpData	
Average28	2051	21/02/2009	33	39	-0.26	0.07	0	18.15	39.33	38.9	batch 34	LBCD BP1	BusinessLayer.LkpData	Type I
Oiff .	1167	10/03/3000	53.0	45	1.74	2.02		11.2	20.47	34	h + + + + + 22		Quelescal surveilles Parts	Time 7
(**** )×`					X" = 39.2	6	Dif	<sup>2</sup> = 428.11 K	= 0					
	-	10 Day 2 100	100 L 100 L 100 L	The second second	A DESCRIPTION OF COM	THE OWNER WHEN PERSON NAMED	1.000	COLUMN STREET	MILLION.	144 (BB () (BB	TIME	AR 2953	STATUS IN STAT	SHARE IN CASE
				TO TO PERSON				other Designation					STATISTICS IN STATE	145
					and B	R and		and the party		1610				
	14			9	IDay					Share		Tay Specime	n Teut Detain	
					1000				31		ID	AG	E AGE UNIT	SPECIMEN TYPE
	Got							Aug: 3			210	28.0	) days	CUBE
		Nin-Test Standard D			Count :	48 COL		Count #			212	28.0		CUBE
	1000			A PRO	Avg: (	0 Avg		Aug: 3			214			CUBE
WHEN STATE				AND STREET	- Harrison	ter bit black		1.1	885.7					
	We	hin-Test Coefficient	Variation [V1 #4	100 m	Avg: I	O Avg	1.38	Aug: 4	100	Avg: Max	216	28.0	dans (	CUBE

Figure 5: Data in Periods

The second tab called Analysis ACI214R (Figure.6), this tab display data for determined Criteria for Strength Requirement fcr', and the main function of this tab to display the four simplified Quality Control Charts.

ANALYSIS_ACI214				•
Criteria For Strength Red	<u>quirment</u>	Standards of concrete control	Graph	
Specified Strenth(Fc)	M9a	Static Function	MOVING AVARAGE FOR STRENGTH	
Criteria Method		Class Of Operation +	-	
Criteria Equation		CALCULATE	MOVING AVARAGE FOR RANGE	-
Limit(Z)		Ile - Marin	INDIVIDUAL STRENGTH TEST	
Critera Equation				
Result[FGr]	L.			
Minimum Result	and the second s			
[ncr]	and the second			No. of Concession, Name
CALCULATE	- main V to			
Contraction of the second				

Figure 6: Analysis ACI214R

The last tab called Graph in (Figure.7). The main function of this tab to display data as quality control charts.



Moving average of the range of ten

Individual test with UCL, LCL

Moving average of three

Figure 7: Chart Presentation

## CONCLUSIONS

This study investigated the quality of raw materials and the fitness and stability degrees of concrete quality affect tremendously the stability of concrete structures. Based on the results of this study, the following conclusion can be drawn:

- 1. A new software was created to evaluate the level of quality control of concrete by using ACI214R which prescribes a statistical approach.
- 2. Statistical analysis made on compressive strength test results of two huge projects in Libya the outcomes of the result indicated that the quality control ranged from excellent to a good. As per the classification: (Overall Variation) of ACI 214R-02. Control over testing: The within test coefficient of variation (V1) indicates that the control over testing changed from "fair to poor" this corresponds to within-test range equation (R) which is (max strength min strength) and confirms the believe that fluctuations in the range value are not due to variations in the manufacturing process but rather to variation in testing procedures.
- 3. To put the process of testing back to control, the quality control laboratory should find out what has caused the change in the inherent variation and make corrections accordingly. Normally, when the out-off control situation is due to inadequate testing, the probable causes may include a momentary lack of attention the, fatigue, a change in testing method, or errors in measurements and arithmetic.
- 4. The causes for poor quality can be summarized as ignorance, poor materials, poor design, poor detailing, and poor workmanship, improper quantity of cement, improper concrete mix, excess water, inadequate compaction, substandard forms, inadequate curing, inadequate cover and above all the lack of technical knowledge.
- 5. There is a need for further researches to improve the quality of workmanship involved in the building construction industry of Libya and its impact on concrete quality, and developing a new version of a Software by addition of different data of performance improvements and new features.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. ACI Committee 318, 1996. Building Code Requirement for Reinforced Concrete (ACI 318-95) and Commentary-ACI 318R-95, *Reported by ACI Committee 318*.
- 2. CHEN Kuen-suan, SUNG Wen-pei, SHIH Ming-hsiang. Reliable evaluation method of quality control for compressive strength of concrete. *Journal of Zhejiang University SCIENCE, Aug. 9, 2004.*
- 3. Abebe Eshetu Tessema, 'Concrete Production and Quality Control in Building Construction Industry of Ethiopia' 'Master Thesis, School of Graduate Studies Addis Ababa University, 2005.
- 4. Kane, V.E., 1986. Process capability indices. Journal of Quality Technology, 18(1):41-52.
- 5. Montgomery, D.C. (2009). " Introduction to Statistical Quality Control ",6th ed. Wiley, New York.
- 6. PCA, 1970. Statistical Product Control. Publication IS172T, *Portland Cement Association*, Skokie, IL, U.S.A.
- 7. ACI Committee 214, "Evaluation of Strength Test Results of Concrete (ACI 214R-02)," American Concrete Institute, D.
- 8. Nevielle, A.M, (1985); Properties of Concrete, Longman Scientific and Technical.
- 9. Kosmatka, Steven H.; Kerkh off, Beatrix; and Panarese, William C. (2003); "Design and Control of Concrete Mixtures", EB001, 14th edition, *Portland Cement Association*, Skokie, Illinois, USA.
- 10. H. A1-Mudhaf and M. Al Fadhala. Computer-aided statistical quality control in a concrete block factory in Kuwait 1997.
- 11. Christian U. Grosse, Hans-Wolf Reinhardt.2003. New developments in quality control of concrete using ultrasound.
- 12. Gambhir. M.L. (2002). "Concrete Technology", MC Grawhill Book Company, 2nd ed. New Delhi.
- 13. Sidney Mindess, J. Francis Young and David Darwin, (2003); "Concrete, prentice hall", 2nd edition, USA.
- 14. M. S. Alazhari, "Evaluation and Acceptance Criteria of Concrete Compressive Strength in Libya" Master Thesis, Department of Civil Engineering-Tripoli University Tripoli Libya 2011.
- 15. Kausay, T. K. Simon, 2006. Acceptance of concrete compressive strength.
- 16. Arash Altoontash, 'Simulation and Damage Models for Performance Assessment of Reinforced Concrete Beam-Column Joints' PhD thesis, US-Stanford University San Francisco 2004, Pages173-175.
- 17. Kevern, J. Wang, K., Suleiman, M.T., and Schaefer, V.R.4.,2006. Pervious Concrete Construction: Methods and Quality Control.
- 18. Chan, L.K., Cheng, S.W., Spiring, F.A., 1988. A new measure of process capability: Cpm. Journal of *Quality Technology*,20:162-175.
- 19. Sung, W.P., Chen, K.S., Go, C.G., 2001. The Effective Method of Evaluation for the Quality Control of Steel Reinforcing Bar. *Advances and Applications in Statistics*,1(2):175-193.
- 20. Chou, Y.M., Owen, D.B., 1989. On the distribution of the estimated process capability indices. *Commune. Statist. Theory Methods*, 18:4549-4560.
- 21. R. allab and Srinivasu, G. saty anarayan, and Srikanth rikkula. "Utility of Quality Control Tools and Statistical Process Control to Improve the Productivity and Quality in Industry" *International Journal of Reviews in Computing.* 2009.
- 22. Elshiekh, E. Khayal.O.2018. Development of Quality Control System for Cement Manufacturing using Software Development of Quality Control System for Cement Manufacturing using software Techniques: A Review. *International Journal of Advanced Engineering and Management*, 127-133.