A Review of Machine Learning Algorithms in Consumer Behavior: the Missing Link in Impulse Buying

El Hassan Laachach

September 30, 2023

A Critical Review of Machine Learning Applications in Consumer Behavior: The Missing Link in Impulse Buying

Laachach El Hassan
elhassan.laachach@edu.univ-paris13.fr
Centre d'Economie de l'Université Paris 13
École doctorale Érasme
Université Sorbonne Paris Nord

Author keywords
Machine Learning
Consumer Behavior
Impulse Buying
Online impulse buying

Abstract:
This literature review critically examines machine learning algorithms' role in predicting consumer buying behaviors, such as product recommendations, customer segmentation, fraud detection, churn prediction, demand forecasting, and pricing optimization. Despite substantial progress in these areas, a glaring gap exists in the research concerning impulse buying—a global phenomenon reported by 71% of consumers across 27 countries in a 2020 IBM study.

In France and the United States, regret and financial consequences of impulse buying are notable, affecting 47% of French individuals aged 18-34 and accounting for an average monthly spending of $314 in the U.S. as of 2022. The existing literature on impulse buying has undergone significant shifts over seven decades, incorporating psychological and environmental triggers to understand the behavior better. However, most studies veer toward prescriptive models that, paradoxically, might fuel further impulse buying.

The need for analytical models is especially acute. These models offer a counterpoint to prescriptive approaches by focusing on understanding the determinants of impulse buying without necessarily promoting it. As such, they could provide critical insights that benefit both consumers, by enhancing their awareness of impulse buying's post-purchase impact, and vendors, who may need to re-evaluate the long-term profitability of revenue generated from such buying behaviors.

This review emphatically underscores the imperative for dedicated research to fill this analytical void, leveraging machine learning for a more nuanced understanding and predicting of impulse buying, or more practically, Online impulse buying.

Introduction :

The landscape of consumer behavior, ever-evolving in nature, has consistently been a focal point for both scholars and market practitioners (Edelman et Abraham, 2022). As we traverse deeper into the digital epoch, it brings forth a myriad of challenges and prospects, particularly in guiding consumer decisions in sustainable and well-informed directions. To navigate these multifaceted dynamics, there is an indispensable need for refined analytical tools.

Machine learning, renowned for its prowess in handling vast datasets and deciphering intricate patterns (Dwivedi et al., 2021), emerges as a transformative force in this analytical domain. Despite the substantial incorporation of machine learning across diverse aspects of consumer behavior, a discernible gap persists: its application in understanding impulse buying. This behavior, shaped by emotional underpinnings and external stimuli, commands a pivotal role in the entire purchasing spectrum. The academic literature, while extensive, offers a varied take on impulse buying (Arora et al., 2021), from its potential benefits to inherent challenges, and a few provide a balanced perspective.

Problematic: Amidst the expanding horizons of machine learning in consumer behavior, the absence of dedicated research focusing on impulse buying stands out prominently. The review sets out to delineate this gap, showcasing the untapped potential of machine learning in illuminating the intricacies of impulse buying.

In the span of the last two decades, artificial intelligence has woven itself deeply into the fabric of consumer behavior, prompting a re-evaluation and recalibration of traditional marketing doctrines (Edelman & Abraham, 2022). To surmount these emerging challenges, businesses have progressively integrated AI, capitalizing on its benefits, from enhanced personalization to laser-focused targeting and efficiency in marketing operations (Forbes Agency Council, 2020).

The sub-domain of machine learning within AI offers businesses the tools to wade through data deluges, extracting consumer insights in real-time (Dwivedi et al., 2021). This surge in analytical capability has birthed innovations like recommendation algorithms and chatbot interfaces, re-envisioning user interactions (Arora et al., 2021).

Corporate giants, exemplified by Amazon, underscore the transformative potential of AI, from curated user recommendations to avant-garde systems like Alexa (Transperfect Media, 2017). However, this brisk AI integration journey brings along its own set of hurdles, be it privacy issues, biases engrained in algorithms, or potential inadvertent manipulative tendencies (Cheng et al., 2022; Mogaji et al., 2020).

Within the academic milieu, while the myriad applications of machine learning in understanding consumer behavior have been substantially discussed, its pointed use in dissecting impulse buying remains conspicuously sparse. This review seeks to navigate this academic landscape, aiming to illuminate and bridge this research chasm.

1. Machine Learning in Consumer Behavior:

The intersection of machine learning and consumer behavior represents a dynamic confluence of technology and psychology. As technology has evolved, so too have the methods and tools for understanding and predicting consumer behavior (Jain et al. 2023). Machine learning, with its intricate algorithms and vast analytical capabilities, offers unprecedented insights into the modern consumer (Duarte et al. 2022). By examining the journey from traditional methods to the latest machine learning applications, one can appreciate the transformative role of technology in decoding complex consumer patterns and behaviors. The following sections delve into this evolution, from the pre-digital era's rudimentary tools to the sophisticated machine learning algorithms of today.

1.1. Historical perspective and definition :

Delving into history, prior to the dawn of the digital epoch, comprehending consumer behavior leaned heavily on traditional tools: surveys, observational studies, and the like (Ethan Lu, Forbes Business Council, 2020). The seismic shift from brick-and-mortar retail to digital marketplaces precipitated a metamorphosis in how consumers behave, a transformation punctuated by the rise of e-commerce (Giovanna et al., 2023; Saura et al., 2020). With a universe of products available at the click of a button, consumers were endowed with unparalleled choice and comparison abilities, yet this shift was not without its caveats—data privacy emerged as a paramount concern (Ngai et Wu, 2022).

Machine learning, defined succinctly, is a subset of artificial intelligence (AI), grounded in algorithms that, when fed data, can learn autonomously, predict outcomes, and classify information, sans human intervention (Gopinath Rebala et al., 2019). In the vast expanse of marketing and consumer behavior research, machine learning's emergence has been nothing short of revolutionary. Voluminous consumer-generated data, juxtaposed with the burgeoning suite of ML techniques, has profoundly reshaped marketing praxis (Andrea De Mauro et al., 2022). Present-day applications of machine learning span diverse domains—from making product suggestions based on consumer history to predicting market trends and even translating vast tracts of text (Coursera, 2023).

1.2. Advancements & Applications:

Machine learning, in its embrace of consumer behavior, has unfurled a plethora of models and algorithms, each progressively more refined than the last. From the foundational, such as linear regression, to the avant-garde neural networks, each leap has sharpened our analytical edge (Vishwa Shrirame et al., 2020).
A salient manifestation of machine learning in e-commerce is product recommendations. Gleaning insights from user behavior and preferences, algorithms craft tailored product suggestions, thereby elevating the shopping sojourn (Mohan et al., 2021; Sharma et al., 2021). Yet, this nuanced personalization does not come sans its challenges. Data privacy looms large as a significant concern, presenting a dichotomy between customization and discretion (Jain et al., 2023).

Machine learning's prowess further shines in segmenting customers—clustering them based on behavior, demographics, and myriad other metrics, all of which are made possible via clustering and classification algorithms (Ozan, 2018). This granular segmentation paves the way for laser-focused targeting, but also foregrounds ethical dilemmas.

Machine learning also plays sentinel in the domain of fraud detection. With algorithms spanning decision trees to neural networks, machine learning proves invaluable in detecting and parrying fraudulent endeavors, upholding the sanctity of consumer trust (Tax et al., 2021; Resham Jhangiani et al., 2019).

On the business continuity front, churn prediction, facilitated by machine learning, empowers businesses to discern nascent patterns heralding customer attrition, allowing timely interventions (Lalwani et al., 2021).

From the operational vantage point, demand forecasting, rooted in predictive analytics, harnesses machine learning to anticipate product or service demand, allowing businesses to fine-tune inventory and supply chain logistics (Huber et Stuckenschmidt, 2020).

Price, a pivotal consumer touchpoint, has undergone a metamorphosis with machine learning underpinning dynamic pricing strategies. Algorithms now adjust prices in real-time, harmonizing profitability and consumer contentment (Giorgio et al., 2018; Ito et Fujimaki, 2017).

While AI and ML's vast applications in marketing are multifarious, this review is consciously circumscribed to areas exemplifying the most direct confluence of machine learning and consumer behavior. These chosen domains unequivocally influence both business trajectories and the consumer odyssey.

2. The Glaring Gap: Impulse Buying:

As machine learning continues to revolutionize various facets of predicting consumer behavior, one might be lulled into thinking that every aspect of this field has been extensively explored. Yet, beneath the surface of sophisticated algorithms and data-driven insights lies a glaring oversight: impulse buying. This phenomenon, while not new (Stern 1962), has taken on unprecedented importance in our contemporary digital shopping landscape (Zhang a Shi 2022). With the ease of a click or a tap, consumers can spontaneously acquire products, often without thorough contemplation (White 2019). Despite its significance, the nuanced understanding of impulse buying, especially in the age of online retail, remains underrepresented in current literature. This section delves deep into the statistics underscoring its prominence, the evolving understanding of this behavior, and the pressing need to address the lacunas in contemporary research.

2.1. Statistics & Importance:

In the bustling aisles of stores and the endless scroll of online shops, the modern consumer is frequently caught in the spontaneous allure of impulse buying. The sheer magnitude of this behavior is staggering and not restricted by geography or demographics. A remarkable 95% of Americans admit to making in-store impulse purchases (McDermott, 2017), while in the international arena, 78.4% of Brits and 63% of Canadians confess to similar tendencies (McDermott, 2017). This spontaneous purchasing isn't a rare occurrence, with 67.2% of American males and 62.6% of their female counterparts acknowledging such unplanned expenditures at least once a month (McDermott, 2017; Devaney, 2017).

The realm of online shopping has only intensified these behaviors. A recent survey spanning several countries revealed that a striking majority of women, almost six in ten, predominantly indulge in impulse purchases of clothes or shoes online (Gelder, Statista, 2023). Comparatively, less than 40% of men echo this sentiment in the same category, but for them, electronics emerge as the most common impulse purchase online, with about half admitting to such acquisitions (Gelder, Statista, 2023). An overwhelming 88.6% of Americans are not immune to the allure of the internet, confessing to online impulse shopping (Slickdeals.com). The role of social media in shaping buying behaviors cannot be understated. Globally, 63% of social media shoppers have admitted to making unplanned purchases on these platforms (Chevalier, Statista, 2023).

Historical data further cement the prominence of impulse buying. A 2012 study by Mattila and Wirtz discerned that impulse purchases can account for an astounding 60% of all purchases. Fast forward to 2020, and the global landscape still resonates with this pattern. The IBM study across 27 countries unveiled that a striking 71% of consumers habitually succumb to impulse shopping (IBM, 2020).

Zooming in on France, decorative objects have not been spared from this frenzy, with 50% of the French participants admitting to their impulsive procurement in 2014. The EMEA region in 2019 witnessed a fascinating interplay of technology and behavior. A dominant 89% of self-proclaimed impulse buyers were swayed in-store by mobile ads, subsequently making a purchase (Blis, 2019).

These statistics paint a vivid picture of a world where impulse buying is not an anomaly but a dominant force in consumer behavior. It underscores the importance of understanding the intricacies of this phenomenon and the implications it has for both businesses and consumers in the evolving market landscape.

2.2. Shift in Understanding Impulse Buying:

The scholarly journey into impulse buying commenced nearly seven decades ago. Yet, for a long span, literature on the topic remained scanty, with a sparse publication rate until 2000. A noticeable uptick in research emerged in the new millennium, catalyzed by technological advancements and global shifts towards market-driven economies (A. Redine et al., 2022; Vohs & Faber, 2007). This research acceleration, particularly in the e-commerce era post-2010, underscores the evolving understanding and significance of impulse buying in diverse contexts. As we delve deeper, this evolution can be delineated into three distinct phases, each marked by its unique emphasis and understanding of the impulse buying phenomenon.

➔ *Foundational Phase of Impulse Buying:*

Originating nearly 75 years ago, impulse buying was first highlighted by the DuPont Consumer Buying Habits studies (1948-1965) that reported around 50% of retail purchases were unplanned (Rook 1987). Initially equated with unplanned buying, early definitions, as seen in works by (Clover 1950) and (West 1951), focused on product characteristics, such as candies. Yet, these definitions faced critiques for their limited scope and lack of theoretical depth, particularly from scholars like (Bellenger et al. 1978) et (Kollat and Willett 1967).

Furthermore, measurement methods, like the DuPont study's pre- and post-shopping interviews, were critiqued for not adequately capturing the nuances between intended and actual purchases. Recognizing the complexity, (Stern 1962) introduced a taxonomy of impulse buying, delineating four types: Pure, Reminder, Suggestive, and Planned. (Kollat and Willett 1967) also attempted to understand impulse buying through a matrix approach, classifying buying based on intentions and outcomes. However, these methodologies, focusing predominantly on external stimuli, faced critiques for their theoretical limitations.

➔ *Deepening Phase of Impulse Buying:*

From the 1980s, impulse buying research began to emphasize psychological aspects. (Lutz 1981) initiated this, while (Rook 1987) differentiated impulse buys from unplanned ones. Research in the 1990s, like (Piron 1993), delved into mood's influence, and in-store factors became a focus (Abratt and Goodey, 1990). However, the definition remained debated. The turn of the millennium highlighted emotional and hedonic elements in impulse buying (Kacen and Lee, 2002). Personality traits became a key focus (Dawson and Kim 2012), along with demographic impacts (Bashar et al. 2013). Cultural differences were also explored (Kacen and Lee 2002; Pornpitakpan and Han 2013). With the rise of e-commerce, the research context broadened beyond physical stores.

➔ *Digitalization Phase of Impulse Buying:*

Online shopping offers unparalleled access, available 24/7, eliminating queues and closing times. The ease and convenience theoretically boost impulse buying. (Stern 1962) highlighted a relationship between buying ease and impulse purchasing, while (Beatty and Ferrell 1998) linked online browsing to increased impulsive buys.

Digital merchandising encompasses product offers and information, enhancing the shopping experience. Personalized web content, as detailed by (Chakraborty et al. 2003), caters to individual preferences, making information more relevant and targeted.

Online platforms provide easy price comparisons, fostering competition, with price being a key determinant of impulse buying (Zhou and Wong, 2003). Yet, online shopping lacks sensory cues and immediate product trials. However, multimedia applications and customer reviews help bridge this gap.

Immediate gratification, a driving force behind traditional impulse buying, isn't necessarily immediate in online settings due to delivery times. Yet, the mere act of purchasing can provide this gratification, as suggested by (LaRose 2001).

(Sharma et al. 2013) introduced an integrative framework for studying impulse buying of goods and services online, emphasizing perceived risk. The pre-purchase stage includes perceived risk and value, the buying phase comprises impulsive tendencies and unplanned buying, and the post-purchase stage encapsulates satisfaction and regret.

Stern's (1962) taxonomy of impulse buying behavior, while dominant in consumer behavior literature, hasn't been directly adapted for online contexts. Still, researchers have utilized and adapted Stern’s categories to study online impulse buying, such as (Parboteeah et al. 2009) and (Adelaar et al. 2003).

2.3. Shortcomings of Current Literature:

The concept of online impulse buying remains fluid in academic literature, an outcome of its newer emergence alongside e-commerce growth compared to the well-established traditional impulse buying (Lo et al. 2016; Chan et al. 2017). While the base phenomenon of spontaneous, unplanned purchases remains consistent, critical distinctions lie in the shopping environment and experience. Factors such as physical presence, sensory interaction with products, unique online stimuli, 24/7 accessibility, product quality verification methods, influence dynamics, and potential for personalization and distraction highlight the nuanced contrasts between online and offline impulsive purchases (Amos Clinton et al. 2014; Parboteeah,; Y. Yang et al. 2020; O. D. Rareş 2014; L. Aragoncillo and C. Orus 2018;). These variations underscore the need for more specific predictive tools tailored to the online realm, where machine learning can play a pivotal role.

Building upon the intricacies of impulse buying in both online and offline settings, the role of machine learning emerges as a new frontier in predicting such behaviors. Recent research has initiated exploration into machine learning to predict impulse buying. For instance, (Prashar, et al. 2015) conducted pioneering work using neural networks to predict impulse buying amongst Indian consumers. Their methodology began by identifying antecedent variables from existing literature and then administering questionnaires in Delhi and Mumbai. Their study proved that neural networks hold significant predictive power for impulse buying (Prashar et al., 2015). Additionally, (Bak et al. 2022) introduced a brain-computer interface-based approach for predicting impulse buying using functional near-infrared spectroscopy, successfully predicting impulse buying behaviors with an average accuracy of 93.78% using a Support Vector Machine (SVM) algorithm (Bak et al., 2022; Sara Brown MIT 2021).

However, while Bak et al. (2022) focused on brain data, a gap emerges in applying machine learning to other data types like demographics, product features, online behavior, consumer preferences, and social media data (Sara Brown MIT 2021). It would be insightful to ascertain if other machine learning algorithms, such as artificial neural networks, random forests, or gradient boosting models, might also be effective predictors (Sara Brown MIT 2021). Meanwhile, Verhagen and van Dolen's meta-analytic review (2019) aggregated findings from various impulse buying studies, identifying key determinants. Although this comprehensive study could have been a prime opportunity for machine learning application, the authors opted for a meta-analysis, a statistical method synthesizing results from multiple studies.

A critical observation arises when considering current data modeling techniques. The prevalent models, rooted in a traditional framework, often treat complex behavioral phenomena like a 'black box' (Leo Breiman 2001). For instance, while they've illuminated the role of personality traits in impulse buying tendencies (Balmores-Paulino, 2020; Jie et al., 2022; Whiteside and Lynam, 2001), their accuracy remains a concern, especially with new data. The pitfalls of this traditional model, especially its susceptibility to Gaussian noise, become evident.

The promise of machine learning (ML) offers a fresh perspective. Breaking away from the constraints of traditional models, ML embraces the complexity within the 'black box,' prioritizing prediction accuracy over understanding the exact underlying interactions (Leo Breiman 2001). In the realm of impulse buying, this could revolutionize how we understand and predict such behavior, focusing on outcomes and the potential for large-scale pattern recognition, same as it’s being popular in the general consumer buying behavior prediction, rather than becoming ensnared in the labyrinth of individual interactions.

3. The Need for Analytical Models:

In the evolving narrative of machine learning's confluence with consumer behavior, a pivotal facet emerges—the pressing need for robust analytical models tailored to dissect the intricacies of impulse buying. The preceding sections illustrated machine learning's foundational and expansive role in consumer behavior analytics. As we advance, it becomes imperative to underline the nuanced differentiators between various analytical models, particularly in the context of impulse buying. Alongside the technical dimensions, the ethical ramifications of such modeling, especially concerning impulse purchases, merit keen scrutiny. This section endeavors to advocate for the synthesis of machine learning and impulse buying analysis while also delineating its practical imperatives. By shedding light on these facets, we aim to unravel the potential of machine learning in refining, and arguably revolutionizing, our understanding and prediction of impulse buying behaviors in the digital age.

3.1. The Nuance Between Analytical Models:

Diving into the intricate realm of data analytics, it's indispensable to discern the multifaceted nature of its types for an insightful understanding. At the outset of the analytic spectrum lies Descriptive Analytics, which offers a retrospective view of "What happened?", focusing on historical data to elucidate past behaviors and recognize patterns (Davenport & Harris, 2007).

As we progress along this spectrum, we encounter Predictive Analytics. Leveraging statistical algorithms and machine learning techniques, it anticipates "What might happen in the future?" (Shmueli & Koppius, 2011). Drawing from historical data, its aim is to provide estimates about forthcoming events, aiding businesses in tasks ranging from sales forecasting to identifying potential shifts in consumer behaviors.

However, the pinnacle of actionable insights in this analytics journey is represented by Prescriptive Analytics. As (Hüllermeier 2021) aptly highlights, this facet provides guidance on "What should we do next?" Beyond mere portrayal or prediction, it advises. By amalgamating insights from both its descriptive and predictive counterparts and incorporating optimization and simulation algorithms, prescriptive analytics proffers actionable recommendations, delineating specific courses of action that can inform real-time decision-making (Bertsimas et al., 2008).

3.2. The Ethical Dimension of Impulse Buying:

Impulse buying, particularly in the digital marketplace, is a topic imbued with multifaceted ethical considerations. On the surface, it might be easy to categorize impulse buying as a spontaneous purchasing decision. However, beneath this surface lies a sophisticated interplay of various influences, each contributing to this seemingly impulsive choice (Rook, 1987).

The onset of online shopping has augmented the importance of scrutinizing these influences. Algorithms designed to enhance user experience can sometimes become tools that capitalize on cognitive biases. For example, scarcity cues such as "only a few left in stock" can ignite urgency, and social proof mechanisms like "purchased by 100 others today" can harness the herd behavior, pressuring consumers into buying without due reflection (Cialdini, 2001; Wertenbroch, 1998).

Moreover, advancements in technology, especially the integration of AI and machine learning in e-commerce, have equipped vendors with precise tools for targeting and recommendation. While beneficial for personalized experiences, there's a potential risk of exploiting vulnerable consumers. For instance, excessive remarketing or using consumer's browsing history to induce impulse purchases might tread into ethically ambiguous territories (Mik, 2016).

Arguably, the most pivotal ethical concern emerges at the crossroads of consumer autonomy and marketing influence. There exists a fine line between nudging a consumer towards a beneficial or preferred choice and manipulating them. Thaler and Sunstein (2008) in their work on "nudging" propose that influencing choices in a way that it benefits the decision-maker can be ethically permissible. However, in the context of online impulse buying, discerning between nudges that genuinely benefit the consumer and those that primarily serve vendor profitability—often at the expense of the consumer's well-being or financial health—is paramount.

3.3. Advocating for Analytical Models in Impulse Buying:

The nuanced landscape of impulse buying, particularly in online contexts, demands a shift from traditional ex-post analytical approaches to more proactive, ex-ante predictive strategies. Traditional analytical models, while invaluable in understanding the after-effects and impacts of factors, tend to be reactive. They assess consumer behavior after the fact, piecing together the reasons why a purchase was made.

In contrast, predictive models leveraging machine learning harness the potential to preemptively understand and forecast impulse buying behavior. By analyzing large datasets, these models can recognize patterns, trends, and associations among various factors, potentially before a consumer even makes a purchasing decision (Agrawal & Srikant, 1994). Such a proactive approach is not just about foretelling a purchase but about comprehending the myriad factors that could lead to it, from specific product attributes, emotional states of consumers, to external environmental cues.

The true value of these predictive models lies in their ability to "dose" the impact of individual factors. For instance, how much does a particular advertising style, combined with the consumer's current emotional state and past purchase history, increase the likelihood of an impulse buy? By answering these questions, businesses can adapt their strategies to cater to consumers more ethically, potentially reducing regretful or financially imprudent purchases (Sharma, et al., 2010). For consumers, this translates to a shopping environment that respects their autonomy, while also offering insights into their own behaviors, enabling better-informed decisions.

Furthermore, predictive models pave the way for an adaptive online shopping ecosystem. As these models learn and evolve, they can alert businesses to emerging impulse buying trends or shifts in consumer sentiment, facilitating timely interventions and strategic shifts (Linden, et al., 2003).

In essence, while analytical models diagnose and describe, predictive models empower and preempt. In the realm of online impulse buying, where the stakes are high and the margins for error are slim, the latter's proactive approach can be the difference between fostering informed consumers and inadvertently promoting potentially harmful buying habits.

While the allure of prescriptive models—offering clear action paths based on data—is undeniable, their application to online impulse buying remains embryonic and fraught with potential pitfalls. The ethical dimension of impulse buying, replete with implications on financial well-being, psychological health, and consumer autonomy, demands a cautious and judicious approach. Prescriptive models, in their bid to drive specific behaviors, might inadvertently accentuate these concerns, promoting actions without a comprehensive understanding of their far-reaching implications. The inherent uncertainty of impulse buying means that the wrong prescription could magnify consumer regret, lead businesses astray with misguided strategies, and even result in societal consequences like overconsumption or unsustainable debt patterns. Hence, until the ramifications of these prescriptive directives are well-understood and safeguards are in place, the field would do well to lean on the side of caution, placing a premium on understanding and prediction before rushing to prescribe.

3.4. The Practical Need for Machine Learning in Impulse Buying:

A tangible manifestation of the repercussions of impulse buying is evident in the high rate of product returns. Studies, like the one conducted by (Chen et al. 2020), underline a significant correlation between impulse purchases and subsequent product returns, stemming largely from post-purchase regret. This ephemeral satisfaction often culminates in economic losses for companies due to returns, restocking, and reputational harm.

Machine learning offers a proactive approach to this challenge. With predictive algorithms, businesses can foresee impulse buying tendencies, allowing them to tailor marketing strategies that are both more ethically conscious and economically sound. Instead of capitalizing on impulsive behaviors, they can guide consumers toward purchases that align more closely with genuine needs and desires, thereby reducing the probability of product returns.

Furthermore, from a consumer's perspective, integrating machine learning models into financial tools can be revolutionary. For instance, consumers can link predictive models of impulse buying to their bank accounts or credit cards. Such integration would provide real-time alerts or even preventive measures against transactions that the model identifies as impulsive, thereby aiding in financial discipline and budget adherence. Moreover, this could substantially diminish disputed payments based on post-purchase regret, benefiting both consumers and businesses.

Another promising avenue is enhancing e-commerce platforms with machine learning-driven features that encourage more deliberate purchasing decisions. For instance, by analyzing a user's browsing pattern, purchase history, and time spent on products, these algorithms could prompt the user to rethink potential impulse buys or even offer alternative suggestions that match the user's genuine interests.

As the digital landscape continually evolves and online shopping remains ascendant, understanding and addressing impulse buying through analytical models, empowered by machine learning, is not merely an academic endeavor. It represents a pragmatic, ethical, and economic imperative, ensuring a more harmonious interaction between consumers and businesses in the digital marketplace.

4. Implications of ML application in Online Impulse Buying:

Emerging from the foundational explorations of machine learning in consumer behavior and the necessity of analytical modeling for impulse buying, we find ourselves at the juncture of assessing the implications of these advancements. The marriage of machine learning with online impulse buying is not without its complexities and ramifications. It stirs rigorous academic discourse and has engendered debates about the appropriateness and efficacy of various ML methodologies for scientific research. Additionally, in the intricate tapestry of online buying, discerning causality—understanding the 'why' behind the 'what'—remains a paramount challenge. The subsequent sections endeavor to unravel these multifaceted implications, providing insights into both the methodological controversies and the challenges of causality that machine learning confronts in the realm of online impulse buying.

4.1. The increasing debate about the ML mothods for scientific research

In traditional statistical hypothesis testing, the focus is often on understanding the relationship between specific independent variables (or predictors) and the dependent variable (or outcome). The researcher pre-specifies hypotheses based on prior theory or evidence, selects relevant control variables, and tests the hypothesized relationships. This approach emphasizes understanding and interpreting specific relationships while accounting for confounding factors (Bennett et al. 2022).

Machine learning (ML), especially in predictive modeling, takes a different approach. Here, the primary goal is often predictive accuracy. Many ML algorithms can handle a vast number of variables and can tease out complex, non-linear interactions between them. They don't necessarily require a priori hypotheses about which variables are important. Instead, the algorithms 'learn' from the data which variables (and combinations thereof) are most predictive of the outcome (Breiman 2001).

The debate arises from these different goals. Some scientists argue that the holistic approach of ML, while excellent for prediction, may not provide clear causal interpretations. Without a structured hypothesis, it can be challenging to discern why a particular variable is influencing the outcome. Others feel that ML can uncover novel relationships that traditional methods might miss (Rao 2020, Thalēs 2018).

The distinction between traditional research methods and ML isn't just about tools; it's more fundamentally about the underlying approach and objective (Bennett et al. 2022).

Traditional research is rooted in hypothesis testing, where the primary goal is understanding or explaining. A researcher posits a theory, designs an experiment or observational study to test this theory, and then analyzes the results to confirm or refute the hypothesis (Breiman 2001).

ML, on the other hand, especially in its predictive applications, often prioritizes prediction over explanation. The aim is to build a model that can accurately predict outcomes in new, unseen data. While some ML techniques can provide insights into the importance of different variables, they don't necessarily offer clear causal interpretations (Thalēs 2018).

However, it's essential to note that the boundary between these approaches is becoming increasingly blurred. Techniques are emerging that integrate ML's predictive power with traditional research's emphasis on causality (e.g., causal inference techniques in ML).

4.2. Traversing the Causality Ladder in Online Buying Analysis

Traditional statistical approaches in the domain of impulse buying primarily focus on identifying correlations and patterns within the data. However, the question of "why" often remains unanswered. Judea Pearl's groundbreaking work on causality provides a framework to delve deeper into understanding not just the "what" but also the "why" behind observed patterns (Pearl, 2018). Incorporating this lens into machine learning's predictive capabilities promises a more profound insight into the causal underpinnings of online impulse buying. In this section, we aim to elucidate the potential benefits and challenges of this integration for both the research community and practical applications.

Building upon Pearl's conceptual framework (Pearl & Mackenzie, 2018), let's dissect how traditional statistical analysis and emerging machine learning techniques measure against each rung of the causality ladder, specifically in the realm of online impulse buying:

➔ *1st rung : Association (Seeing).*

Traditional Statistical Analysis: Classical statistical methodologies primarily remain on this rung. They identify correlations or associations between variables. For instance, a statistical analysis might identify a strong association between exposure to a particular online advertisement and an uptick in impulse purchases.

ML Predictive Models: ML, especially supervised algorithms, can also identify these patterns but with greater complexity and precision, handling high-dimensional data and intricate interactions.

Challenge: Both approaches, if limited to this rung, can be susceptible to the fallacy of equating correlation with causation. For impulse buying, understanding mere associations isn't enough. We need to discern which factors, when altered, would lead to a change in behavior.

➔ *2nd rung : Intervention (Doing).*

Traditional Statistical Analysis: Traditional methods would use experimental or quasi-experimental designs (like randomized control trials) to understand the impact of interventions. For example, does altering the website layout decrease impulse buying behavior?

ML Predictive Models: ML can simulate the effects of interventions using techniques like causal inference trees. They can predict outcomes under hypothetical intervention scenarios, offering a dynamic way to test multiple strategies. For instance, ML models can predict impulse buying outcomes if a specific type of online advertisement were removed.

Challenge: Ensuring that all confounding variables are accounted for is crucial. While ML can handle vast amounts of data, it requires careful feature selection to ensure causative, not just associative, predictors are considered.

➔ *3rd rung : Counterfactuals (Imagining).*

Traditional Statistical Analysis: This is a more challenging domain for classical methods. They would need to rely heavily on assumptions and constructed models to estimate counterfactual outcomes. For impulse buying, this might involve hypothesizing how a consumer would've behaved if they hadn't seen an advertisement.

ML Predictive Models: Advanced ML techniques, especially those integrating Bayesian frameworks or neural networks, can be trained to estimate counterfactual outcomes, providing insights into "what-if" scenarios. They can predict the likelihood of impulse buying for a consumer based on hypothetical scenarios, such as if they had not received a discount coupon.

Challenge: Counterfactuals, by nature, deal with unobserved outcomes. Ensuring the accuracy and reliability of such predictions is challenging. Over-reliance on model assumptions can also skew outcomes.

While traditional statistical analyses provide foundational insights grounded in observed associations and carefully structured interventions, ML offers dynamic, holistic, and nuanced predictive capabilities. The challenges lie in ensuring causality, managing confounders, and accurately predicting unobserved counterfactual scenarios. As we look into impulse buying behaviors in the digital realm, integrating Judea Pearl's causality framework into ML can lead to richer, more actionable insights, but with an added layer of complexity and responsibility.

5. Future Directions:

Following our thorough exploration of the implications of ML in online impulse buying, it's evident that this arena is ripe with potential for further investigation and discovery. As technology continues to evolve, so do the methodologies and questions posed by researchers. This section seeks to shed light on potential avenues for future research, encompassing both the innovative capabilities of machine learning and the intrinsic complexities of online impulse buying behavior.

5.1. Unearthing Hidden Patterns:

The landscape of online impulse buying is intrinsically complex, marked by an array of subtle behaviors and interactions. While traditional analytical approaches have offered invaluable insights, there's an increasing necessity for sophisticated tools to capture latent patterns potentially overshadowed by conventional methods.

Machine learning, especially its proficiency in managing high-dimensional data, emerges as a viable alternative. It can decipher intricate patterns in expansive datasets, modeling complex interrelations between variables. Deep learning, a subset of machine learning, uses multi-layered artificial neural networks to detect hierarchical patterns. Such models can, for instance, recognize sequences of behaviors that collectively lead to an impulse purchase, offering a more granular perspective of the buying process.

Research Direction:

Leverage deep learning architectures, specifically tailored for diverse data types in the e-commerce domain, to elucidate intricate behavioral sequences leading to impulse purchases.

5.2. Personalization and Predictive Analytics:

In the realm of online purchasing, the role of personalization has grown exponentially, largely influenced by the intricate mosaic of individual buyer behaviors. Each buyer's online journey is a distinct narrative, filled with unique browsing habits, product interactions, and purchase decisions. Traditional marketing approaches, while effective in broad-strokes campaigns, often fall short when catering to such granular nuances.

Machine learning emerges as an instrumental tool in this landscape. Its adaptability not only allows for the deciphering of these individual narratives but also facilitates the crafting of bespoke predictive models. These models can forecast, with significant accuracy, moments when a specific buyer may be inclined towards an impulse purchase, offering opportunities for timely and relevant marketing interventions.

Research Direction:

Harness the power of ML to create adaptive predictive models, aiming to understand and influence individual buying journeys, ensuring they align with designated ethical or business imperatives.

5.3. Temporal Analysis:

The passage of time has always played a fundamental role in shaping human behaviors and preferences. In the domain of online shopping, this temporal rhythm manifests itself in myriad ways.

From the rush of festive season sales to the relative tranquility of mid-week browsing, time-based patterns are intricately woven into the fabric of impulse buying.
However, unraveling these patterns is no trivial endeavor. Traditional methods often fail to capture the dynamic interplay of time-sensitive factors and their subsequent influence on purchasing decisions. This is where machine learning, with its advanced tools such as Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) and Long Short-Term Memory networks (LSTMs), stands as a beacon. These algorithms are specifically tailored to handle sequential data, making them apt for dissecting the temporal dimensions of online shopping behaviors.
Research Direction:
Leverage the capabilities of time-series analysis combined with advanced ML models to meticulously map out and predict the ebbs and flows of impulse buying, ensuring a comprehensive understanding of its temporal intricacies.

5.4. Integration with Neuromarketing:

Neuromarketing stands at the crossroads where neuroscience and marketing meet, offering a window into the intricate neural processes that underpin consumer behavior. This interdisciplinary approach promises a deeper understanding of the factors that drive individuals to make purchasing decisions, especially those made on an impulse. The intricacies of the human brain, with its myriad of neurons and connections, offer a complex landscape that traditional marketing methods might find challenging to navigate.
Machine learning, renowned for its ability to handle complex data structures and draw insights from them, complements neuromarketing exceptionally well. By coupling the rich data from neuroscience studies with the analytical prowess of ML, researchers can delve deeper into the neural networks of the brain to understand the triggers and influencers of impulse buying at a granular level. The cited work of Bak et al., 2022, serves as a testament to the potential of this synergy, bridging the gap between neuroscience and market analysis.
Research Direction:
Seamlessly integrate neuromarketing techniques with ML algorithms to elucidate the intricate neural pathways involved in online impulse buying, aiming to achieve a holistic and profound understanding of the underlying psychological drivers.

The interplay between machine learning and online impulse buying promises a future teeming with deeper insights, enhanced strategies, and transformative discoveries. However, as we venture further into this exciting frontier, the importance of ethical consideration and methodological rigor cannot be overemphasized. Researchers must ensure that their pursuits remain anchored in principles of fairness, transparency, and robust scientific inquiry.

6. Conclusion:

The applications of machine learning (ML) in consumer behavior have undeniably brought forth transformative insights, bridging technology's capabilities with the intricate nuances of human decision-making processes. From a historical vantage, the marriage of ML and consumer behavior has evolved significantly, offering researchers a myriad of tools to decode purchase intentions, habits, and trends.

However, the glaring omission in current literature and applications pertains to the complex realm of impulse buying. The evolution of impulse buying—from its foundational phase, where it was viewed merely as a spontaneous act, to its digitalization phase where online channels have given it new dimensions—is a testament to the dynamism of this particular consumer behavior. This paper has stressed the importance of addressing this lacuna, given impulse buying's economic significance and its profound influence on consumer purchase paradigms.

The call for integrating analytical models, especially in an era where data-driven insights can offer invaluable perspectives, is not just about the predictive power but also about understanding the intricate web of factors driving impulse buying. The ethical considerations around impulse buying, especially in the digital sphere, further underscore the need for a comprehensive, ML-driven analytical approach.

While the implications of ML's application in online impulse buying come with its set of challenges and debates, especially around causality, it's evident that the journey through the causality ladder is vital for more holistic and actionable insights. Looking forward, the future of impulse buying research, armed with ML, beckons a myriad of promising directions—from unearthing hidden behavioral patterns and personalizing marketing strategies, to understanding temporal dynamics, and integrating neuromarketing for a deeper dive into the neural underpinnings of purchase decisions.

In essence, this paper serves as a clarion call for researchers to harness the potential of ML in dissecting, understanding, and influencing impulse buying, ensuring that this pivotal aspect of consumer behavior is not left in the shadows of academia. As the digital era continues to shape consumer behavior, it's imperative for research to keep pace, ensuring that the tools and methodologies employed are as evolved as the consumers they seek to understand.

7. References:

7.1. Bibliography

1. Dwivedi, Y.K., Ismagilova, E., Hughes, D.L., Carlson, J., Raffaele Filieri, Jacobson, J., Jain, V., Heikki Karjaluoto, Hajer Kéfi, Krishen, A.S., Kumar, V., Rahman, M.M., Raman, R., Rauschnabel, P.A., Rowley, J., Salo, J., Tran, G.A., Wang, Y.: Setting the future of digital and social media marketing research: Perspectives and research propositions. International Journal of Information Management. 59, 102168–102168 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2020.102168.
2. Kalla, S.M., Arora, A.P.: Impulse Buying. Global Business Review. 12, 145–157 (2010). https://doi.org/10.1177/097215091001200109
3. Cheng, X., Zhang, S., Fu, S., Huang, C.: Exploring the metaverse in the digital economy: an overview and research framework.

4. Mogaji, E., Nguyen Phong Nguyen: Managers’ Understanding of Artificial Intelligence in Relation to Marketing Financial Services: Insights...
5. Jain, V., Wadhwani, K., Eastman, J.K.: Artificial intelligence consumer behavior: A hybrid review and research agenda. Journal of Consumer Behaviour. (2023). https://doi.org/10.1002/cb.2233.
6. Duarte, V., Zúñiga-Jara, S., Contreras, S.: Machine Learning and Marketing: A Systematic Literature Review. IEEE Access. 10, 93273–93288 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2022.3202896
7. Giovanna Patzy Uribe-Linares, Cristian Armando Rios-Lama, Jorge Alberto Vargas-Merino: Is There an Impact of Digital Transformation on Consumer Behaviour? An Empirical Study in the Financial Sector. Economies. 11, 132–132 (2023). https://doi.org/10.3390/economies11050132
8. Saura, J., Reyes-Menendez, A., De Matos, N., Correia, M., Palos-Sanchez, P.: CONSUMER BEHAVIOR IN THE DIGITAL AGE.
9. Ngai, E.W.T., Wu, Y.: Machine learning in marketing: A literature review, conceptual framework, and research agenda. Journal of Business Research. 145, 35–48 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2022.02.049
10. Gopinath Rebala, Ravi, A., Sanjay Churiwala: Machine Learning Definition and Basics. Springer eBooks. 1–17 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-15729-6_1
11. Andrea De Mauro, Sestino, A., Bacconi, A.: Machine learning and artificial intelligence use in marketing: a general taxonomy. Italian Journal of Marketing. 2022, 439–457 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1007/s43039-022-00057-w
12. Vishwa Shrirame, Juyee Sabade, Hitesh Soneta, M. Vijayalakshmi: Consumer Behavior Analytics using Machine Learning Algorithms. (2020). https://doi.org/10.1109/conecct50063.2020.9198562
13. Mohan, S., Hanumath Sreeman Vedantham, Venkata Chakradhar Vanam, Nagendra Panini Challa: Product Recommendation Systems Based on Customer Reviews Using Machine Learning Techniques. Algorithms for intelligent systems. 267–286 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-8530-2_21
14. Sharma, J., Sharma, K., Garg, K., Avinash Kumar Sharma: Product Recommendation System a Comprehensive Review. IOP conference series. 1022, 012021–012021 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899x/1022/1/012021
15. Ozan, S.: A Case Study on Customer Segmentation by using Machine Learning Methods. (2018). https://doi.org/10.1109/idap.2018.8620892
16. Tax, N., Jan, Jong, de, Dosoula, N., van, Smith, J., Thuong, O., Bernardi, L.: Machine Learning for Fraud Detection in E-Commerce: A Research Agenda, https://arxiv.org/abs/2107.01979, last accessed 2023/09/24
17. Resham Jhangiani, Bein, D., Verma, A.: Machine Learning Pipeline for Fraud Detection and Prevention in E-Commerce Transactions. (2019). https://doi.org/10.1109/uemcon47517.2019.8992993
18. Lalwani, P., Manas Kumar Mishra, Jasroop Singh Chadha, Sethi, P.: Customer churn prediction system: a machine learning approach. Computing. 104, 271–294 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00607-021-00908-y
19. Huber, J., Heiner Stuckenschmidt: Daily retail demand forecasting using machine learning with emphasis on calendric special days. International Journal of Forecasting. 36, 1420–1438 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijforecast.2020.02.005
20. Giorgio Alfredo Spedicato, Christophe Dutang, Petrini, L.: Machine Learning Methods to Perform Pricing Optimization. A Comparison with Standard GLMs. Variance. 12, 69–89 (2018). https://hal.science/hal-01942038
21. Ito, S., Fujimaki, R.: Optimization Beyond Prediction: Prescriptive Price Optimization. Proceedings of the 23rd ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining. (2017). https://doi.org/10.1145/3097983.3098188
22. Stern, H.: The Significance of Impulse Buying Today. Journal of Marketing. 26, 59–62 (1962). https://doi.org/10.1177/002224296202600212
23. Zhang, M., Shi, G.: Consumers’ Impulsive Buying Behavior in Online Shopping Based on the Influence of Social Presence. Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience. 2022, 1–8 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/6794729.

24. Mattila, A.S., Wirtz, J.: Congruency of scent and music as a driver of in-store evaluations and behavior. Journal of Retailing. 77, 273–289 (2001). https://doi.org/10.1016/s0022-4359(01)00042-2
25. Redine, A., Deshpande, S., Jebarajarkirthy, C., Surachartkumtonkun, J.: Impulse buying: A systematic literature review and future research directions. International Journal of Consumer Studies. (2022). https://doi.org/10.1111/ijcs.12862
26. Rook, D.W.: The Buying Impulse. Journal of Consumer Research. 14, 189–199 (1987). https://doi.org/10.1086/209105
27. Clover, V.T.: Relative Importance of Impulse-Buying in Retail Stores. Journal of Marketing. 15, 66 (1950). https://doi.org/10.2307/1247083
28. West, C.J.: Results of Two Years of Study into Impulse Buying. Journal of Marketing. 15, 362–363 (1951). https://doi.org/10.1177/002224295101500315
29. Darmon, R.Y., Bellenger, D.N., Greenberg, B.A.: Marketing Research: A Management Information Approach. Journal of Marketing Research. 15, 497 (1978). https://doi.org/10.2307/3150613
30. Kollat, D.T., Willett, R.P.: Customer Impulse Purchasing Behavior. Journal of Marketing Research. 4, 21–31 (1967). https://doi.org/10.1177/002224376700400102
31. Lutz, R.J.: Contemporary Perspectives in Consumer Research. Kent Publishing Company (1981).
32. Piron, F.: A Comparison of Emotional Reactions Experienced By Planned, Unplanned and Impulse Purchasers. ACR North American Advances. NA-20, (1993).
33. Abratt, R., Goodey, S.D.: Unplanned Buying and In-Store Stimuli in Supermarkets. Managerial and Decision Economics. 11, 111–121 (1990).
34. Kacen, J.J., Lee, J.A.: The Influence of Culture on Consumer Impulsive Buying Behavior. Journal of Consumer Psychology. 12, 163–176 (2002). https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327663jcp1202_08
35. Dawson, S., Kim, M.: Cues on apparel web sites that trigger impulse purchases. Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management: An International Journal. 14, 230–246 (2010). https://doi.org/10.1108/13612021011046084
36. Bashar, A., Ahmad, I., Wasiq, M.: A Study of Influence of Demographic Factors on Consumer Impulse Buying Behavior. Journal of Management and Research. (2013).
37. Pornpitakpan, C., Han, J.H.: The effect of culture and salespersons' retail service quality on impulse buying. Australasian Marketing Journal (AMJ). 21, 85–93 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ausmj.2013.02.005
38. Beatty, S.E., Elizabeth Ferrell, M.: Impulse buying: Modeling its precursors. Journal of Retailing. 74, 169–191 (1998). https://doi.org/10.1016/s0022-4359(99)80092-x
39. Chakraborty, G., Lala, V., Warren, D.: What Do Customers Consider Important in B2B Websites? Journal of Advertising Research. 43, 50–61 (2003).
40. Zhou, L., Wong, A.: Consumer Impulse Buying and In-Store Stimuli in Chinese Supermarkets. Journal of International Consumer Marketing. 16, 37–53 (2004). https://doi.org/10.1300/j046v16n02_03
41. Larose, R., Mastro, D., Eastin, M.S.: Understanding Internet Usage. Social Science Computer Review. 19, 395–413 (2001). https://doi.org/10.1177/089443930101900401
42. Sharma, P., Sivakumaran, B., Marshall, R.: Exploring impulse buying in services: toward an integrative framework. Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science. 42, 154–170 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-013-0346-5
43. Parboteeah, D.V., Valacich, J.S., Wells, J.D.: The Influence of Website Characteristics on a Consumer's Urge to Buy Impulsively. Information Systems Research. 20, 60–78 (2009).
44. Adelaar, T., Chang, S., Lancendorfer, K.M., Lee, B., Morimoto, M.: Effects of Media Formats on Emotions and Impulse Buying Intent. Journal of Information Technology. 18, 247–266 (2003). https://doi.org/10.1080/0268396032000150799
45. Lo, L.Y.-S., Lin, S.-W., Hsu, L.-Y.: Motivation for online impulse buying: A two-factor theory perspective. International Journal of Information Management. 36, 759–772 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2016.04.012
46. Clinton, A.: A meta-analysis of consumer impulse buying. Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services. 21, 86–97 (2014).

47. Yang, Y., Gong, Y., Pek, L., Chesney, T.: Understanding the effects of physical experience and information integration on consumer use of online to offline commerce. International Journal of Information Management. 51, 102046–102046 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2019.102046
48. Rareş, O.D.: Measuring Perceived Service Quality Offline vs. Online: A New PeSQ Conceptual Model. Procedia Economics and Finance. 15, 538–551 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1016/s2212-5671(14)00505-x
49. Aragoncillo, L., Orus, C.: Impulse buying behaviour: an online-offline comparative and the impact of social media. Spanish Journal of Marketing - ESIC. 22, 42–62 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1108/sjme-03-2018-007
50. Prashar, S., Parsad, C., Sai Vijay, T.: Application of neural networks technique in predicting impulse buying among shoppers in India. DECISION. 42, 403–417 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1007/s40622-015-0109-x
51. Bak, S., Jeong, Y., Yeu, M., Jeong, J.: Brain–computer interface to predict impulse buying behavior using functional near-infrared spectroscopy. Scientific Reports. 12, (2022). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-22653-8
52. Verhagen, T., Willemijn van Dolen: The influence of online store beliefs on consumer online impulse buying: A model and empirical application. Information & Management. 48, 320–327 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2011.08.001.
53. Balmores-Paulino, R.S.: Spontaneity. Encyclopedia of Personality and Individual Differences. 5183–5185 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-24612-3_1917
54. Jie, W., Poulova, P., Haider, S.A., Sham, R.B.: Impact of internet usage on consumer impulsive buying behavior of agriculture products: Moderating role of personality traits and emotional intelligence. Frontiers in Psychology. 13, (2022). https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.951103
55. Whiteside, S.P., Lynam, D.R.: The Five Factor Model and impulsivity: using a structural model of personality to understand impulsivity. Personality and Individual Differences. 30, 669–689 (2001). https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8869(00)00064-7
56. Breiman, L.: Statistical Modeling: The Two Cultures (with comments and a rejoinder by the author). Statistical Science. 16, 199–231 (2001). https://doi.org/10.1214/ss/1009213726
57. T. H. Davenport and J. G. Harris, Competing on Analytics: The New Science of Winning. Harvard Business Press, 2007. P 132-145
58. Galit Shmueli, Koppius, O.: Predictive Analytics in Information Systems Research. Social Science Research Network. (2010). https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1606674
59. Hüllermeier, E.: Prescriptive Machine Learning for Automated Decision Making: Challenges and Opportunities, https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.08268, last accessed 2023/09/24.
60. Bertsimas, D., Kallus, N.: From Predictive to Prescriptive Analytics. Management Science. 66, (2019). https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.2018.3253
61. Cialdini, R.: The Science of Persuasion. Scientific American. 284, 76–81 (2001).
62. Wertenbroch, K.: Consumption Self-Control by Rationing Purchase Quantities of Virtue and Vice. Marketing Science. 17, 317–337 (1998). https://doi.org/10.1287/mksc.17.4.317
63. Mik, E.: The Erosion of Autonomy in Online Consumer Transactions, https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2878421, last accessed 2023/09/30.
64. R. H. Thaler and C. R. Sunstein, *Nudge: Improving Decisions about Health, Wealth, and Happiness*. Yale University Press, 2008. P 123-129
65. Agrawal, R., Ramakrishnan Srikant: Fast Algorithms for Mining Association Rules, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/2460430_Fast_Algorithms_for_Mining_Association_Rules, last accessed 2023/09/30.
66. Sharma, P., Sivakumaran, B., Marshall, R.: Impulse buying and variety seeking: A trait-correlates perspective. Journal of Business Research. 63, 276–283 (2010). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2009.03.013

67. Linden, G., Smith, B., York, J.: Amazon.com recommendations: item-to-item collaborative filtering. IEEE Internet Computing. 7, 76–80 (2003). https://doi.org/10.1109/mic.2003.1167344
68. Chen, W.-K., Chen, C.-W., Lin, Y.-C.: Understanding the Influence of Impulse Buying toward Consumers' post-purchase Dissonance and Return intention: an Empirical Investigation of Apparel Websites. Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing. (2020). https://doi.org/10.1007/s12652-020-02333-z
69. Bennett, M., Hayes, K., Kleczyk, E.J., Mehta, R.: Similarities and Differences between Machine Learning and Traditional Advanced Statistical Modeling in Healthcare Analytics. arXiv (Cornell University). (2022). https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2201.02469
70. Breiman, L.: Statistical Modeling: The Two Cultures (with comments and a rejoinder by the author). Statistical Science. 16, (2001). https://doi.org/10.1214/ss/1009213726
71. Pearl, J., Mackenzie, D.: The book of why the new science of cause and effect. London] Uk Usa Canada Ireland Australia India New Zeeland South Africa Penguin Books (2019).

7.2. Webography

1. Edelman, D.C., Abraham, M.: Customer Experience in the Age of AI, https://hbr.org/2022/03/customer-experience-in-the-age-of-ai, last accessed 2023/09/24
2. https://www.forbes.com/sites/forbesagencycouncil/2020/06/11/how-to-offer-new-humanmachine-value-propositions-with-ai/
3. https://www.transperfect.com/blog/where-voice-going-case-study-amazon-alexa
4. Lu, E.: Council Post: The Transformation Of Consumer Behaviors In The Digital Era, https://www.forbes.com/sites/forbesbusinesscouncil/2020/03/13/the-transformation-of-consumer-behaviors-in-the-digital-era/, (2022).
5. What Is Machine Learning? Definition, Types, and Examples, https://www.coursera.org/articles/what-is-machine-learning, last accessed 2023/09/24.
6. White, 2019 https://hbr.org/2019/07/the-elusive-green-consumer?registration=success
7. (McDermott, 2017) https://www.finder.com/impulse-buying-stats
8. (Devaney, 2017) https://www.creditkarma.com/studies/i/stress-spending-study
9. Gelder, K. van : Top online impulse purchases by gender 2022, https://www.statista.com/statistics/1308151/online-impulse-purchases-worldwide-gender/.
10. Patterson, L.: Impulse Spending Report: Americans are Shopping Smarter in 2023, https://money.slickdeals.net/surveys/slickdeals-impulse-spending-survey-2023/, last accessed 2023/09/24.
11. Stephanie Chevalier, Jun 28, 2023 Top reasons for online impulse purchases by Gen Z worldwide 2022 https://www.statista.com/statistics/1395726/gen-z-unplanned-online-purchases-main-reasons/
12. IBM Report : https://cdn.nrf.com/sites/default/files/2020-01/Meet%202020%20consumers%20driving%20change_01_0.pdf
13. Statista Research Department, 17 oct. 2014, Achats impulsifs d'objets de décoration en France, https://fr.statista.com/statistiques/518372/ameublement-decoration-interieure-achats-coup-de-coeur/
14. Blis. (2019, June 25). The high street is not dead: over half of EMEA consumers prefer shopping in physical stores. Blis Report. Retrieved from [https://blis.com/the-real-retail-story-evolving-shopper-behaviour-in-emea/]
15. Brown, S.: Machine learning, explained, https://mitsloan.mit.edu/ideas-made-to-matter/machine-learning-explained.

16. Rao, S.: How does the ML algorithm differ from the Traditional Algorithm?, https://medium.com/@raosrinivas2580/how-does-the-ml-algorithm-differ-from-the-traditional-algorithm-b7c3a2799e10, last accessed 2023/09/30.
17. Thalēs: Traditional Modeling vs. Machine Learning – Thalēs, https://www.thales.com/insights/traditional-modeling-vs-machine-learning/, last accessed 2023/09/30.