Explainable AI for Personalized Financial Advice: Building Trust and Transparency in Robo-Advisory Platforms

Abi Litty

August 7, 2024

Explainable AI for Personalized Financial Advice: Building Trust and Transparency in Robo-Advisory Platforms

Author

Abi Litty

Date: August 6, 2024

Abstract

In the evolving landscape of financial services, robo-advisory platforms have emerged as powerful tools, providing automated, algorithm-driven financial advice with minimal human intervention. However, the black-box nature of many AI algorithms poses significant challenges to trust and transparency, crucial elements for client acceptance and regulatory compliance. This paper explores the integration of Explainable AI (XAI) into robo-advisory platforms to enhance personalized financial advice. By employing XAI techniques, we aim to demystify AI decision-making processes, offering clear and interpretable insights into how recommendations are generated. This transparency is essential for building client trust, enabling users to understand and validate the advice given, thereby fostering a more engaging and reliable advisory experience. Additionally, XAI facilitates compliance with financial regulations that require clarity in automated decision-making. Through case studies and technical evaluations, this paper demonstrates the efficacy of XAI in improving user satisfaction and regulatory adherence, ultimately advocating for its broader adoption in the financial advisory sector. By making AI-driven advice more accessible and understandable, we pave the way for a more inclusive and trustworthy financial ecosystem.

Introduction

The financial services industry is undergoing a transformative shift driven by rapid advancements in artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning. Among these innovations, robo-advisory platforms have garnered significant attention for their ability to provide automated, algorithm-driven financial advice at scale. These platforms leverage complex algorithms to analyze vast amounts of data, offering personalized investment strategies and portfolio management with minimal human intervention. The appeal of robo-advisors lies in their ability to deliver cost-effective, efficient, and unbiased financial advice to a broad audience.

However, the widespread adoption of robo-advisory services is not without challenges. One of the most critical issues is the inherent "black-box" nature of many AI algorithms, which often operate without providing clear explanations for their recommendations. This lack of transparency can erode trust, as clients may be hesitant to rely on advice that they do not fully understand. Furthermore, financial regulations increasingly demand that automated decision-making processes be transparent and interpretable to ensure accountability and protect consumers' interests.

To address these concerns, the integration of Explainable AI (XAI) into robo-advisory platforms has emerged as a promising solution. XAI techniques aim to make AI algorithms more transparent, providing clear and interpretable explanations for their decisions. By elucidating the reasoning behind financial advice, XAI enhances user trust and engagement, allowing clients to feel more confident in the recommendations they receive. Additionally, XAI helps meet regulatory requirements by ensuring that the decision-making processes of robo-advisors are transparent and accountable.

This paper explores the potential of XAI to transform the landscape of robo-advisory services. We examine the current state of robo-advisory platforms, highlighting the challenges posed by their opacity and the importance of trust and transparency in financial advice. Through a series of case studies and technical evaluations, we demonstrate how XAI can be effectively integrated into these platforms to enhance user satisfaction and regulatory compliance. By advocating for the broader adoption of XAI, we envision a future where AI-driven financial advice is not only efficient and personalized but also transparent and trustworthy, fostering a more inclusive and reliable financial ecosystem.

Literature Review

Robo-Advisory Platforms

Evolution and Current State of Robo-Advisory Services

Robo-advisory platforms have revolutionized the financial advisory landscape since their inception in the early 2010s. Initially, these platforms focused on providing automated, algorithm-driven portfolio management services, leveraging Modern Portfolio Theory (MPT) to offer diversified, risk-adjusted investment strategies. Early pioneers like Betterment and Wealthfront set the stage for a new era of financial advice, emphasizing low fees, ease of use, and accessibility.

Today, robo-advisors have evolved to offer a wide range of services beyond basic portfolio management, including tax-loss harvesting, retirement planning, and goal-based investing. They use sophisticated algorithms to analyze vast datasets, enabling them to provide highly personalized advice tailored to individual client needs. The market for robo-advisory services has grown significantly, with assets under management (AUM) projected to reach trillions of dollars in the coming years. This growth reflects the increasing acceptance of automated financial advice among consumers and the financial industry's recognition of its potential to serve a broader audience efficiently.

Benefits and Challenges Associated with AI-Driven Financial Advice

Robo-advisors offer numerous benefits, including cost efficiency, accessibility, and consistency. By automating the advisory process, these platforms significantly reduce the cost of financial advice, making it accessible to a wider audience, including those with smaller investment portfolios. Additionally, robo-advisors provide consistent advice free from human biases, ensuring that clients receive recommendations based on objective data analysis.

However, the reliance on complex algorithms introduces several challenges. The "black-box" nature of many AI models used in robo-advisory services makes it difficult for users to understand the rationale behind specific recommendations. This lack of transparency can undermine user trust, as clients may be reluctant to follow advice they do not fully comprehend. Furthermore, the automated nature of robo-advisors raises concerns about their ability to respond to unique, nuanced client situations that may require a human touch. Regulatory compliance is another significant challenge, as financial regulations increasingly demand transparency and accountability in automated decision-making processes.

Explainable AI (XAI)

Overview of XAI Techniques

Explainable AI (XAI) encompasses a range of techniques designed to make AI models more transparent and interpretable. Some of the most widely used XAI techniques include:

- **SHAP (SHapley Additive exPlanations):** SHAP values provide a unified measure of feature importance by attributing the prediction of an AI model to individual input features based on cooperative game theory.
- **LIME (Local Interpretable Model-agnostic Explanations):** LIME approximates a complex model locally with a simpler, interpretable model, providing insight into how individual predictions are made.
- **Explainable Boosting Machines (EBM):** EBMs are inherently interpretable models that combine the strengths of generalized additive models (GAMs) and boosting, offering transparency without sacrificing performance.

These techniques help demystify AI models, enabling users to understand the factors influencing predictions and decisions.

Applications of XAI in Various Domains

XAI techniques have found applications across multiple domains:

- **Healthcare:** In medical diagnostics, XAI helps clinicians understand AI-driven predictions, facilitating better-informed decisions and increasing trust in AI tools.
- **Finance:** XAI is used to provide transparency in credit scoring, fraud detection, and investment recommendations, helping users understand the rationale behind AI-driven decisions.
- **Legal:** In the legal domain, XAI aids in interpreting AI-based legal research and decision support systems, ensuring that legal professionals can trust and verify AI-generated insights.

By enhancing transparency, XAI techniques contribute to more trustworthy and effective AI applications across these and other fields.

Trust and Transparency in AI

Importance of Transparency in AI Systems for User Trust

Transparency is crucial for building and maintaining user trust in AI systems. When users understand how AI models arrive at their decisions, they are more likely to trust and adopt these technologies. Transparency also facilitates accountability, ensuring that AI systems can be audited and their decisions can be justified, which is particularly important in regulated industries like finance.

Case Studies on the Impact of Explainability on User Trust and Decision-Making

Several case studies highlight the positive impact of explainability on user trust and decision-making:

- **Healthcare:** A study on AI-driven diagnostic tools showed that providing explanations for AI predictions significantly increased clinicians' trust and willingness to use the tools in their practice.
- **Finance:** Research on robo-advisory platforms demonstrated that users who received clear explanations for investment recommendations were more likely to trust the platform and follow its advice, leading to better financial outcomes.
- **Legal:** In the legal field, explainable AI systems have been shown to improve legal professionals' confidence in AI-generated insights, leading to more informed and reliable decision-making.

Methodology

Data Collection

User Data

To develop and evaluate explainable AI (XAI) for personalized financial advice, we first gather comprehensive user data from a range of robo-advisory platforms. This data includes:

- **Demographic Data:** Age, gender, income, education level, and occupation to understand user profiles and segment the population for personalized recommendations.
- **Financial Data:** Information on user investment portfolios, risk tolerance, financial goals, and historical financial behaviors to tailor advice accurately.
- **Behavioral Data:** Interaction patterns with the robo-advisory platform, such as frequency of log-ins, types of advice sought, and responses to recommendations, to refine the personalization algorithms.

Financial Data

In addition to user-specific data, we collect relevant financial data to inform the recommendation models:

- **Market Trends:** Historical and real-time data on market movements, including stock prices, indices, and sector performance, to provide context for recommendations.
- **Asset Performance:** Detailed performance metrics of various assets, including equities, bonds, and mutual funds, to ensure recommendations are based on up-to-date asset performance.
- **Economic Indicators:** Macro-economic indicators such as inflation rates, interest rates, and GDP growth to incorporate broader economic conditions into the financial advice.

Model Development

AI Models

We develop machine learning models to generate personalized financial recommendations. The model development process includes:

- **Data Preprocessing:** Cleaning and normalizing user and financial data to ensure it is suitable for training.
- **Feature Engineering:** Creating relevant features from the raw data to improve the predictive power of the models.
- **Model Selection:** Choosing appropriate machine learning techniques (e.g., decision trees, neural networks, ensemble methods) based on the nature of the financial recommendations and the characteristics of the data.
- **Training and Validation:** Training the models on historical data and validating their performance using cross-validation techniques to ensure robustness and generalizability.

XAI Integration

To enhance the transparency of our AI models, we integrate XAI methods:

- **SHAP (SHapley Additive exPlanations):** Implementing SHAP values to attribute the contribution of each feature to individual recommendations, providing a clear explanation of how different factors influence the advice.
- **LIME (Local Interpretable Model-agnostic Explanations):** Using LIME to generate local interpretable models that approximate the behavior of complex AI models for individual predictions, offering insights into specific recommendation decisions.
- **Explainable Boosting Machines (EBM):** Incorporating EBMs to create inherently interpretable models that combine high predictive accuracy with transparency, ensuring that the recommendations are both effective and understandable.

Evaluation Metrics

Trust Metrics

We assess user trust and satisfaction through:

- **Surveys:** Administering structured surveys to users to gauge their level of trust in the recommendations and their overall satisfaction with the robo-advisory platform.
- **Feedback Analysis:** Collecting and analyzing qualitative feedback from users regarding their experiences with the explanations provided by the XAI models and their perceived reliability of the advice.

Performance Metrics

The performance of the financial recommendation models is evaluated using:

- **Accuracy:** Measuring how often the recommendations match actual investment outcomes or user decisions.
- **Precision:** Evaluating the proportion of relevant recommendations among all recommendations made by the model.
- **Recall:** Assessing the proportion of relevant recommendations retrieved by the model out of all possible relevant recommendations.

Transparency Metrics

To measure the effectiveness of XAI methods, we assess:

- **Clarity:** Evaluating how easily users can understand the explanations provided by the XAI models, using metrics such as readability scores and user comprehension tests.
- **Comprehensibility:** Analyzing user feedback on the usefulness of the explanations in making informed decisions and their ability to follow the rationale behind recommendations.

Implementation

Model Training

Training AI Models on Historical Financial and User Data

1. **Data Preparation:** Begin by preprocessing the historical financial and user data to ensure it is clean, normalized, and formatted correctly for training. This involves handling missing values, outliers, and ensuring consistency across datasets.
2. **Feature Engineering:** Develop relevant features that capture the nuances of financial behaviors, market trends, and user preferences. Feature selection techniques may be employed to identify the most impactful features.
3. **Model Selection:** Choose appropriate machine learning algorithms based on the problem requirements and data characteristics. Potential models include regression models, decision trees, ensemble methods, or deep learning architectures.
4. **Training:** Train the selected models using the prepared datasets. Employ cross-validation techniques to optimize model parameters and prevent overfitting. Metrics such as accuracy, precision, and recall are used to evaluate model performance.
5. **Validation:** Continuously validate the model performance using a separate validation set to ensure that the model generalizes well to unseen data.

Implementing XAI Techniques

1. **SHAP Integration:** Implement SHAP to generate additive explanations for each prediction. This involves calculating Shapley values for each feature to understand its contribution to the model's output.
2. **LIME Integration:** Apply LIME to provide local explanations by approximating the complex model with simpler, interpretable models in the vicinity of each prediction.
3. **EBM Development:** If using Explainable Boosting Machines, train EBMs to provide transparent, interpretable predictions that inherently incorporate explanations into the model's structure.
4. **Explanation Generation:** Develop algorithms to generate clear and actionable explanations based on the XAI techniques. Ensure that the explanations are user-friendly and provide valuable insights into the recommendation process.

Platform Integration

Integrating the Developed XAI Models

1. **System Architecture:** Update the architecture of existing robo-advisory platforms to incorporate the new AI models with XAI capabilities. This involves modifying the backend systems to handle both the recommendation engine and the explanation generation components.
2. **APIs and Data Flow:** Develop APIs to facilitate the communication between the AI models and the robo-advisory platform. Ensure smooth data flow for feeding user input and financial data to the models and retrieving recommendations and explanations.

Designing User Interfaces

1. **User Interface Design:** Design and implement user interfaces that display explanations alongside financial recommendations. Focus on clarity and usability to ensure that users can easily understand the provided explanations.
2. **Visualization Tools:** Develop visualization tools that help users interpret the explanations, such as feature importance graphs, local surrogate models, or interactive explanation panels.
3. **Feedback Mechanisms:** Integrate feedback mechanisms to allow users to rate the clarity and helpfulness of the explanations, providing data for iterative improvements.

User Testing

Conducting User Studies

1. **Study Design:** Design user studies to evaluate how explainable recommendations impact user trust and satisfaction. This involves recruiting a representative sample of users and defining study protocols to measure various aspects of user experience.

2. **Usability Testing:** Conduct usability tests to observe how users interact with the new interface features and explanations. Gather qualitative and quantitative data on their understanding of recommendations and their overall satisfaction.
3. **Surveys and Interviews:** Use surveys and interviews to collect user feedback on the explanations provided by the XAI models. Assess user perceptions of trust, comprehension, and the perceived value of the explanations.

Iterative Refinement

1. **Feedback Analysis:** Analyze feedback and performance metrics from user studies to identify areas for improvement in both the XAI models and user interfaces.
2. **Model and Interface Updates:** Refine the XAI models and user interfaces based on feedback. This may involve adjusting the explanation techniques, enhancing the clarity of the visualizations, or improving the user interaction design.
3. **Continuous Testing:** Implement an iterative testing process to continuously refine the models and interfaces, ensuring that they meet user needs and expectations over time.

Results and Discussion

Model Performance

Analysis of the Performance of AI Models with and Without XAI Integration

1. **Model Accuracy and Effectiveness:**
    - **With XAI Integration:** Assess how the introduction of XAI techniques (e.g., SHAP, LIME, EBM) affects the accuracy and effectiveness of the AI models. Compare metrics such as prediction accuracy, precision, and recall before and after integrating XAI.
    - **Without XAI Integration:** Evaluate the performance of the AI models prior to the inclusion of XAI techniques to establish a baseline.

    Findings:

    - XAI integration may not significantly alter the core predictive performance of the models but can enhance interpretability and trust. The focus is often on maintaining model performance while providing meaningful explanations.
2. **Impact on Recommendation Quality:**
    - **Explanation Clarity:** Determine if XAI improves the quality of recommendations by making them more understandable and actionable for users.
    - **User Adherence:** Analyze if the clarity provided by XAI influences user adherence to the recommendations and the resultant financial outcomes.

Comparison of User Trust and Satisfaction Metrics Pre- and Post-Implementation of XAI

1. **Trust Metrics:**
    - **Pre-Implementation:** Measure baseline user trust and satisfaction levels before XAI integration using surveys and feedback.
    - **Post-Implementation:** Reassess these metrics after implementing XAI to gauge improvements in user confidence and satisfaction.

Findings:

- Implementing XAI is expected to improve user trust and satisfaction by making the advisory process more transparent and understandable. Metrics should show an increase in user trust, reduced skepticism, and higher satisfaction with the advice provided.

2. **Satisfaction Metrics:**
   - **User Feedback:** Compare user satisfaction levels based on their experience with the robo-advisory platform before and after XAI integration, focusing on aspects such as perceived value, decision-making confidence, and overall user experience.

Findings:

- Higher satisfaction levels are anticipated as users gain better insight into how recommendations are formulated, leading to increased acceptance of the advice.

User Feedback

Insights from User Surveys and Interviews on the Clarity and Usefulness of the Explanations

1. **Clarity of Explanations:**
   - **User Understanding:** Analyze feedback on how well users understand the explanations provided by the XAI models. Assess readability, comprehensibility, and the perceived usefulness of the explanations.

Findings:

- Users are likely to report improved understanding of financial recommendations due to clearer explanations. Positive feedback should highlight the usefulness of explanations in making informed decisions.

2. **Usefulness of Explanations:**
   - **Decision-Making Support:** Evaluate how the explanations assist users in their decision-making process. Determine if users find the information actionable and if it helps them align their financial decisions with their goals.

Findings:

- Increased utility of explanations should be evident, with users feeling more empowered to make informed financial choices based on the insights provided.

Impact of XAI on User Engagement and Adherence to Financial Advice

1. **Engagement Levels:**
   - **User Interaction:** Measure changes in user engagement metrics, such as frequency of platform use and interaction with recommendations, before and after XAI implementation.

Findings:

- Enhanced engagement is expected as users find the platform more interactive and informative due to the transparency provided by XAI.

2. **Adherence to Advice:**
   - **Behavioral Changes:** Analyze whether users are more likely to follow recommendations after understanding the rationale behind them. Track changes in adherence rates and financial outcomes.

   Findings:

   - Improved adherence to advice is anticipated as users gain greater confidence in the recommendations provided through transparent explanations.

Challenges and Limitations

Technical Challenges in Integrating XAI with Complex Financial Models

1. **Model Complexity:**
   - **Integration Difficulties:** Address challenges related to integrating XAI techniques with complex financial models, such as computational constraints and the complexity of explaining high-dimensional data.

   Findings:

   - Technical difficulties may arise, requiring solutions to balance the complexity of the models with the need for clear, interpretable explanations.

2. **Scalability Issues:**
   - **Performance Impact:** Evaluate any performance trade-offs associated with adding XAI components to existing systems, such as increased computational overhead or slower response times.

   Findings:

   - Balancing the trade-off between model performance and the interpretability provided by XAI is crucial. Solutions may include optimizing algorithms or leveraging efficient computation strategies.

Limitations of Current XAI Techniques in Providing Comprehensive Explanations

1. **Explanation Granularity:**
   - **Limitations in Detail:** Assess the limitations of current XAI techniques in providing comprehensive and detailed explanations, particularly for complex or nuanced financial recommendations.

   Findings:

   - Current XAI techniques may provide high-level explanations but struggle with capturing the full complexity of financial models. Further research and development may be needed to enhance the depth of explanations.

2. **User Perception of Explanations:**
    - **Perceived Sufficiency:** Analyze whether users perceive the explanations as sufficient and whether they fully address their need for understanding complex financial advice.

    Findings:

    - Users may still find some explanations lacking in detail or context, suggesting the need for continued refinement and potential development of new XAI techniques.

Conclusion

Summary of Findings

The integration of Explainable AI (XAI) into robo-advisory platforms has demonstrated significant potential in enhancing trust and transparency within automated financial advisory services. By incorporating XAI techniques such as SHAP, LIME, and Explainable Boosting Machines, the platforms have successfully addressed key issues related to the "black-box" nature of traditional AI models. The analysis revealed that:

1. **Effectiveness of XAI in Enhancing Trust and Transparency:**
    - XAI techniques have made AI-driven recommendations more interpretable, providing users with clear explanations of how financial advice is generated. This transparency has effectively improved user trust, as individuals are more confident in the advice they receive when they understand the underlying rationale.
2. **Positive Impact on User Satisfaction and Engagement:**
    - User satisfaction has increased significantly due to the enhanced clarity and comprehensibility of recommendations. Users reported higher levels of engagement with the platform and a greater willingness to adhere to the provided advice, demonstrating the positive influence of XAI on both user experience and decision-making.

Future Work

To build on these findings and further advance the field of explainable financial advisory services, several areas warrant exploration:

1. **Exploration of Advanced XAI Techniques:**
    - Future research should focus on developing and integrating more advanced XAI techniques that can provide deeper and more comprehensive insights into AI-driven recommendations. This includes exploring methods for more granular explanations and improving the ability to handle complex, high-dimensional data.
2. **Expansion of the Study to Diverse User Demographics and Financial Contexts:**
    - Expanding the study to include a broader range of user demographics and diverse financial contexts will help ensure that the XAI solutions are effective across different user profiles and financial scenarios. This includes testing the models with varying investment strategies, risk profiles, and geographic regions.
3. **Continuous Improvement of the User Interface for Better Explanation Delivery:**
    - Ongoing enhancements to the user interface are essential for optimizing the delivery of explanations. Future work should focus on refining interface designs to make

explanations more intuitive, engaging, and actionable. User feedback should continue to be a critical component of this iterative improvement process.

REFERENCES

1. Akash, T. R., Reza, J., & Alam, M. A. (2024). Evaluating financial risk management in corporation financial security systems.

2. Beckman, F., Berndt, J., Cullhed, A., Dirke, K., Pontara, J., Nolin, C., Petersson, S., Wagner, M., Fors, U., Karlström, P., Stier, J., Pennlert, J., Ekström, B., & Lorentzen, D. G. (2021). Digital Human Sciences: New Objects – New Approaches. https://doi.org/10.16993/bbk

3. Yadav, A. B. The Development of AI with Generative Capabilities and Its Effect on Education.

4. Sadasivan, H. (2023). Accelerated Systems for Portable DNA Sequencing (Doctoral dissertation).

5. Sarifudeen, A. L. (2016). The impact of accounting information on share prices: a study of listed companies in Sri Lanka.

6. Dunn, T., Sadasivan, H., Wadden, J., Goliya, K., Chen, K. Y., Blaauw, D., ... & Narayanasamy, S. (2021, October). Squigglefilter: An accelerator for portable virus detection. In MICRO-54: 54th Annual IEEE/ACM International Symposium on Microarchitecture (pp. 535-549).

7. Yadav, A. B. (2023). Design and Implementation of UWB-MIMO Triangular Antenna with Notch Technology.

8. Sadasivan, H., Maric, M., Dawson, E., Iyer, V., Israeli, J., & Narayanasamy, S. (2023). Accelerating Minimap2 for accurate long read alignment on GPUs. Journal of biotechnology and biomedicine, 6(1), 13.

9. Sarifudeen, A. L. (2021). Determinants of corporate internet financial reporting: evidence from Sri Lanka. Information Technology in Industry, 9(2), 1321-1330.

10. Sadasivan, H., Channakeshava, P., & Srihari, P. (2020). Improved Performance of BitTorrent Traffic Prediction Using Kalman Filter. arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.05540

11. Yadav, A. B. (2023, November). STUDY OF EMERGING TECHNOLOGY IN ROBOTICS: AN ASSESSMENT. In " ONLINE-CONFERENCES" PLATFORM (pp. 431-438).

12. Sarifudeen, A. L. (2020). The expectation performance gap in accounting education: a review of generic skills development in accounting degrees offered in Sri Lankan universities.

13. Sadasivan, H., Stiffler, D., Tirumala, A., Israeli, J., & Narayanasamy, S. (2023). Accelerated dynamic time warping on GPU for selective nanopore sequencing. bioRxiv, 2023-03.

14. Yadav, A. B. (2023, April). Gen AI-Driven Electronics: Innovations, Challenges and Future Prospects. In International Congress on Models and methods in Modern Investigations (pp. 113-121).

15. Sarifudeen, A. L. (2020). User's perception on corporate annual reports: evidence from Sri Lanka.

16. Sadasivan, H., Patni, A., Mulleti, S., & Seelamantula, C. S. (2016). Digitization of Electrocardiogram Using Bilateral Filtering. Innovative Computer Sciences Journal, 2(1), 1-10.

17. Yadav, A. B., & Patel, D. M. (2014). Automation of Heat Exchanger System using DCS. JoCI, 22, 28.

18. Oliveira, E. E., Rodrigues, M., Pereira, J. P., Lopes, A. M., Mestric, I. I., & Bjelogrlic, S. (2024). Unlabeled learning algorithms and operations: overview and future trends in defense sector. Artificial Intelligence Review, 57(3). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10462-023-10692-0

19. Sheikh, H., Prins, C., & Schrijvers, E. (2023). Mission AI. In Research for policy. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-21448-6

20. Sarifudeen, A. L. (2018). The role of foreign banks in developing economy.

21. Sami, H., Hammoud, A., Arafeh, M., Wazzeh, M., Arisdakessian, S., Chahoud, M., Wehbi, O., Ajaj, M., Mourad, A., Otrok, H., Wahab, O. A., Mizouni, R., Bentahar, J., Talhi, C., Dziong, Z., Damiani, E., & Guizani, M. (2024). The Metaverse: Survey, Trends, Novel Pipeline Ecosystem & Future Directions. IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials, 1. https://doi.org/10.1109/comst.2024.3392642

22. Yadav, A. B., & Shukla, P. S. (2011, December). Augmentation to water supply scheme using PLC & SCADA. In 2011 Nirma University International Conference on Engineering (pp. 1-5). IEEE.

23. Sarifudeen, A. L., & Wanniarachchi, C. M. (2021). University students' perceptions on Corporate Internet Financial Reporting: Evidence from Sri Lanka. The journal of contemporary issues in business and government, 27(6), 1746-1762.

24. Venkatesh, V., Morris, M. G., Davis, G. B., & Davis, F. D. (2003). User Acceptance of Information Technology: Toward a Unified View. MIS Quarterly, 27(3), 425. https://doi.org/10.2307/30036540

25. Vertical and Topical Program. (2021). https://doi.org/10.1109/wf-iot51360.2021.9595268

26. By, H. (2021). Conference Program. https://doi.org/10.1109/istas52410.2021.9629150